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Preface

The United States Renal Data System (USRDS) provides this Researcher’s Guide for investigators within and outside of the USRDS Coordinating Center (CC) who wish to undertake research projects using data from the USRDS database. This guide places particular emphasis on the USRDS Standard Analysis File (SAF) dataset, the primary means by which we make USRDS data available for use. The Researcher’s Guide includes information to help investigators select and use the appropriate SAFs for their intended project.
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Introduction

**USRDS WEBSITE: WWW.USRDS.ORG**

The USRDS website provides users with access to the Annual Data Report (ADR). ADR chapters and volumes are presented in HTML and available as downloadable portable document format (.pdf) files. Reference Tables and the data underlying the figures and tables for each chapter are available as Microsoft Excel (.xlsx) files. All chapter figures and tables are available as Microsoft PowerPoint (.pptx) files. Because of the large file size of some portions of the ADR, downloads may take additional time.

Requesting Data

**SAF AND DATA MERGE REQUESTS**

A primary objective of the USRDS is to make data available to the renal community. Detailed information on how to request data is available at [http://www.usrds.org/request.aspx](http://www.usrds.org/request.aspx). To assist newer users, Figure 1 and Table 1 present a broad view of the available data.

Figure 1. Structure of the USRDS database
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Table 1. Year-to-year comparison of number of records in USRDS Standard Analysis Files (SAFs) 2008-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PATIENTS</strong></td>
<td>1,910,161</td>
<td>2,024,425</td>
<td>2,138,876</td>
<td>2,260,986</td>
<td>2,377,166</td>
<td>2,497,498</td>
<td>2,709,247</td>
<td>2,830,454</td>
<td>2,955,601</td>
<td>3,081,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESIDENC</strong></td>
<td>2,968,884</td>
<td>3,189,860</td>
<td>3,404,854</td>
<td>3,699,752</td>
<td>3,879,561</td>
<td>4,175,840</td>
<td>4,875,215</td>
<td>5,432,851</td>
<td>5,725,337</td>
<td>6,073,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEDEVID†</strong></td>
<td>1,265,566</td>
<td>1,385,589</td>
<td>1,505,285</td>
<td>1,630,270</td>
<td>1,753,496</td>
<td>1,862,922</td>
<td>2,105,748</td>
<td>2,812,913</td>
<td>2,938,730</td>
<td>3,072,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RXHIST&amp;</strong></td>
<td>13,532,743</td>
<td>11,626,297</td>
<td>11,741,390</td>
<td>12,392,072</td>
<td>13,038,727</td>
<td>13,696,997</td>
<td>11,946,796</td>
<td>12,118,742</td>
<td>8,603,737</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FACILITY</strong></td>
<td>74,831</td>
<td>80,071</td>
<td>85,572</td>
<td>91,332</td>
<td>97,201</td>
<td>103,210</td>
<td>115,973</td>
<td>122,730</td>
<td>129,669</td>
<td>136,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TX</strong></td>
<td>324,476</td>
<td>343,051</td>
<td>360,297</td>
<td>378,536</td>
<td>395,347</td>
<td>413,493</td>
<td>471,755</td>
<td>491,491</td>
<td>512,290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*<em>TXWAIT</em></td>
<td>437,256</td>
<td>474,348</td>
<td>513,028</td>
<td>546,239</td>
<td>579,225</td>
<td>618,511</td>
<td>690,217</td>
<td>723,824</td>
<td>767,105</td>
<td>800,555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†MEDEVID is the total number of observations between Medevid95 and Medevid05 prior to 2014.
*TXWAIT is the total number of observations between Waitlist_ki and Waitlist_kp.
&RXHIST single death events are removed from 2015 and subsequent year files.

**LINKAGE TO THE UNITED NETWORK FOR ORGAN SHARING DATA (UNOS)**

New in 2017, we can now link USRDS patient IDs with patient IDs from the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS). To request this linkage, researchers will need to 1) request an amendment to their USRDS DUA, and 2) provide NIDDK/CC with their UNOS approvals for the Center STAR File and linkage. Please note, the National STAR File from UNOS contains encrypted patient IDs, and cannot be linked to another data source. The UNOS Center STAR File does contain patient identifiers such as PXID that can be linked with the USRDS SAFs.

**RENEWAL DATA EXTRACTION AND REFERENCING SYSTEM (RENDER)**

The USRDS Renal Data Extraction and Referencing (RenDER) System is an online data-querying application accessible through the USRDS website. RenDER provides access to a wealth of information regarding ESRD in the United States (U.S.). It quickly returns an accurate table of data or an interactive map based on the user’s query specifications. Tables can be copied and pasted into a spreadsheet application on the user’s computer for further manipulation and investigation, and map images can be copied and saved to local applications. An available database file (.DBF) of the mapped data can be downloaded and opened or imported by most spreadsheet or database applications.

The RenDER System allows easy access to some of the most frequently requested data. While the ADR thoroughly covers many ESRD statistics, it cannot reasonably contain the more detailed tables often requested by researchers. RenDER allows users to drill down into the data behind many of the tables published in the ADR, allowing crosstabulation among various demographic variables. To access the RenDER tutorial, visit [http://www.usrds.org/render/xrender_home.asp](http://www.usrds.org/render/xrender_home.asp).
# What’s New in 2017

## CHANGES TO 2017 SAFS

A summary of the file structure, variable, format, label, and methodology changes to the 2017 USRDS Standard Analysis Files (SAFs) are listed below and are explained in further detail in the *What’s New in 2017* text file included with your data request.

Notable changes in our data are:

1. In response to the introduction of ICD-10 diagnostic coding in October 2015, our claims data now contain ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes, as appropriate to the time frame of service.
2. We have revised the structure of the Transplant SAF (2017 TX SAF). Transplant candidate, recipient, donor, and histocompatibility information are now separately stored in eight different datasets. This clarified file structure, making it easier for users to understand the data sources of each component. The new structure also reduces file size for ease of delivery and use.

Transplant follow-up and immunosuppressive information structure remain the same as in previous years. Additional detail regarding relationship and linkage changes is included later in this section.

## File Structure Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Census</td>
<td>CENSUS files with six race groups were added: CPUS6R, CPST6R. The six race groups are White, Black/African American, American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, and Other or Multiracial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RXHIST and RXHIST60</td>
<td>We concatenated the records having the same patient ID (USRDS_ID), same provider (PROVUSRD) and same modality (RXDETAIL) were combined in RXHIST.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition of Care in Chronic Kidney Disease (TCCKD) special study datasets</td>
<td>TCCKD Special Study datasets were added. Details about the TCCKD Special Study can be found in <em>Transition of Care in Chronic Kidney Disease</em> in Section 1 ESRD data and The USRDS ESRD database.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional claims</td>
<td>In addition to all 2015 institutional claims, the first quarter of 2016 inpatient claims files IP_CLM and IP_DXP were also added. In 2017 USRDS Annual Data Report, data from the first quarter of 2016 was used in the Hospitalization chapter to ensure complete 2015 claims based on CLM_FROM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD_HOSP_2010ON</td>
<td>The file name CKD_10_TO_14_HOSP_CLM was changed to CKD_HOSP_2010ON.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD PS claim files</td>
<td>This year both PS/DME claim level and line level variables and PS/DME records have been separated. We now have four files:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CKD_PS_CLM_2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CKD_PS_LN_2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CKD_DME_CLM_2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CKD_DME_LN_2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROWNWeb Clinical</td>
<td>In previous years, a full year of CROWNWeb data was added with each update. This year the updated records include only the January 2016 to May 2016 period. This is to align with patient demographic and medical evidence data sources that are only available to May 2016 in time to produce the 2017 ADR analysis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**File Structure Changes (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transplant SAF</th>
<th>This year we have changed the structure of the Transplant SAF.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 2 illustrates the structure of USRDS 2016 TX SAF. Transplant candidate, recipient, donor, and histocompatibility information were contained in TXUNOS_KI_PRE_JUL04/TXUNOS_KI_POST_JUL04 for kidney-alone transplants, and in TXUNOS_KP for kidney-pancreas transplants. Transplant follow-up information was found in TXFUUNOS_KI for kidney-alone transplants and TXFUUNOS_KP for kidney-pancreas transplants. Immunosuppressive information at the time of transplant was found in TXIRUNOS and immunosuppressive information at follow-up in TXIFUNOS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                | Figure 3 shows the **revised structure** of the USRDS 2017 TX SAF. Transplant candidate, recipient, donor, and histocompatibility information are now separately stored in eight different datasets. This clarified file structure makes it easier for users to understand the data sources of each component, and reduces file size for ease of delivery and use. Transplant follow-up and immunosuppressive information structure remain the same as in previous years. |

|                | In the 2017 TX SAF, TXUNOS_KI_PRE_JUL04, TXUNOS_KI_POST_JUL04, and TXUNOS_KP are divided into eight different transplant datasets—TXUNOS_TRR_KI, TXUNOS_TCR_KI, TXUNOS_TRR_KP, TXUNOS_TCR_KP, TXUNOS_CDR, TXUNOS_LDR, TXUNOS_DHS, and TXUNOS_RHS. |
Figure 4 and Table 2 show the relationships and linkages between the previous 2016 TXUNOS_KI_PRE_JUL04/TXUNOS_KI_POST_JUL04 and six of 2017 USRDS TX SAFs.
Figure 4. Comparison of USRDS Transplant Standard Analysis File Structure, Pre and Post 2017 Revision
### Table 2. Relationships and linkages between the TX and TXUNOS SAFs, Kidney-Alone, 2016-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016 USRDS TX SAF (previous)</th>
<th>2017 USRDS TX SAF (current)</th>
<th>OPTN form</th>
<th>Variable label prefix</th>
<th>Key ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_KI_PRE_JUL04</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KI</td>
<td>Kidney Transplant Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>KIR</td>
<td>USRDS_ID TRR_ID DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_KI_POST_JUL04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_TCR_KI</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KI</td>
<td>Kidney Transplant Candidate Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>TCR_KI</td>
<td>TRR_ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_CDR</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KI</td>
<td>Deceased Donor Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_LDR</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KI</td>
<td>Living Donor Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>LDR</td>
<td>DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_DHS</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KI</td>
<td>Donor Histocompatibility Worksheet</td>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_RHS</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KI</td>
<td>Recipient Histocompatibility Worksheet</td>
<td>RHS</td>
<td>USRDS_ID TRR_ID DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KI</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KI</td>
<td>Donor Histocompatibility Worksheet vs Recipient Histocompatibility Worksheet</td>
<td>USRDS</td>
<td>USRDS_ID TRR_ID DONID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Relationships and linkages between the TX and TXUNOS SAFs, Kidney-Pancreas, 2016-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016 USRDS dataset (previous)</th>
<th>2017 USRDS dataset (current)</th>
<th>OPTN form</th>
<th>Variable label prefix</th>
<th>Key ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_KP</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KP</td>
<td>Kidney-Pancreas Transplant Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>KPR</td>
<td>USRDS_ID TRR_ID DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_TCR_KP</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KP</td>
<td>Kidney-Pancreas Transplant Candidate Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>TCR_KP</td>
<td>TRR_ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_CDR</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KP</td>
<td>Deceased Donor Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_LDR</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KP</td>
<td>Living Donor Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>LDR</td>
<td>DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_DHS</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KP</td>
<td>Donor Histocompatibility Worksheet</td>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_RHS</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KP</td>
<td>Recipient Histocompatibility Worksheet</td>
<td>RHS</td>
<td>USRDS_ID TRR_ID DONID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KP</td>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KP</td>
<td>Donor Histocompatibility Worksheet vs Recipient Histocompatibility Worksheet</td>
<td>USRDS</td>
<td>USRDS_ID TRR_ID DONID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Variable Changes

The following additions, deletions, and modifications were made to the variables in these 2017 files:

| PATIENTS SAF | The race group categories in previous SAFs were:  
• White  
• Black/African American  
• American Indian or Alaska Native  
• Asian  
• Other or Multiracial  
• Unknown  

The descriptors of race groups have been updated to be consistent with the race categories reported in the U.S. Census. This year, a new category of "Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander" was created as separate from "Asian"; the resulting 2017 race group categories are:  
• White  
• Black/African American  
• American Indian or Alaska Native  
• Asian  
• Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander  
• Other or Multiracial  
• Unknown  

We added the variable CDTYPE to specify whether ICD-9 or ICD-10 coding was used to indicate the Primary Cause of Renal Failure (PDIS). |
| Medical Evidence Form data MEDEVID | We added the variable CDTYPE to indicate whether ICD-9 or ICD-10 coding was used for PDIS.  

Only one set of COMO* and MEDCOV* variables are now used. In the past, different CMS Medical Evidence 2728 Forms contained these redundant variable names for the same comorbidity data and medical insurance coverage—COMO* and MEDCOV*. Our practice had been to retain both variables; in 2017, we streamlined to use one set of variable names, choosing the most representative (see Table 4). |
Table 4. Medical Evidence Form 2728 data comorbidity and medical coverage variable names in 2016 and prior SAFs versus 2017 SAF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable names in 2016 and earlier SAFs</th>
<th>Variable names in 2017 SAF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEDCOV_MEDICAID and MDCD</td>
<td>MEDCOV_MDCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDCOV_MEDDVA and DVA</td>
<td>MEDCOV_DVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDCOV_MEDICARE and MDCR</td>
<td>MEDCOV_MDCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDCOV_GROUP and EMPGRP</td>
<td>MEDCOV_GROUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDCOV_OTHER and OTHCOV</td>
<td>MEDCOV_OTHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDCOV_NONE and NOCOV</td>
<td>MEDCOV_NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD</td>
<td>COMO_IHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>COMO_MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARARR</td>
<td>COMO_CARARR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYSRHYT</td>
<td>COMO_DYSRHYT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERICAR</td>
<td>COMO_PERICAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIABPRIM</td>
<td>COMO_DIAIBPRIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>COMO_HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>COMO_AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_CHF and CARFAIL</td>
<td>COMO_CHF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_CVATIA and CVA</td>
<td>COMO_CVATIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_PVD and PVASC</td>
<td>COMO_PVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_HTN and HYPER</td>
<td>COMO_HTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_DM_INS and DIABINS</td>
<td>COMO_DM_INS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_COPD and PULMON</td>
<td>COMO_COPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_TOBAC and SMOKE</td>
<td>COMO_TOBAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_CANC and CANCER</td>
<td>COMO_CANC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_ALCHO and ALCOH</td>
<td>COMO_ALCOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_DRUG and DRUG</td>
<td>COMO_DRUG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_INAMB and NOAMBUL</td>
<td>COMO_INAMB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMO_INTRANS and NOTRANS</td>
<td>COMO_INTRANS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable Changes (continued)

The following additions, deletions, and modifications were made to the variables in these 2017 files:

| Medical Evidence Form data MEDEVID (continued) | We removed the historical Transplant SAF variables from the 2017 TX SAF. |
| Core Transplant SAF | DRACE: Pacific Islander was separated from Native American; the original DRACE=2000 (Hispanic/Latino) was grouped with "Unknown" in 2016 and is grouped with "White" in 2017. |
| Transplant SAF | The 2017 TX SAFs includes all variables contained in the most current OPTN forms. The following variables have changed in type: |
| | **Changed to numeric variables:** BRAIN_DTH_TIME, CONSENT_TIME, DDCLMPTM, Dyr_ENTRY_US, REOP_BLEED_KI, REOP_BOWEL_KI, REOP_HERNIA_KI, REOP_OTH_KI, REOP_VASC_KI |
| | **Changed to character variables:** DA1R, DA2R, DB1R, DB2R, RA1, RA2, RB1, RB2, RDR1, RDR2 |
| HOSPITALIZATION SAF | We added HSDIAG_CTYPE1 – HSDIAG_CTYPE26 to indicate use of ICD-9 or ICD-10 coding for HSDIAG1 – HSDIAG26. We added HSSURG_CTYPE1 – HSSURG_CTYPE26 to indicate use of ICD-9 or ICD-10 coding for HSSURG1 – HSSURG26. |
| Medicare claims | We added the variable IRONSADMIN—the count of HCPCS in J1755 and J1756—to Medicare Claims Clinical. We added the variable CTYPE to indicate ICD-9 or ICD-10 as the source of CODE in Medicare claims. We added the variable TYPE_ADM—inpatient admission type claim code—to IP_CLM_2015. |
| CKD_CO_MORBID_00 – CKD_CO_MORBID_15 | We added the variable CODEVER to indicate ICD-9 or ICD-10 as the source of CODE |
## Format and Label Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified formats</th>
<th>$\text{RACEFMT}$ values were changed to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Black/African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Other or Multiracial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\text{MERACE}$ values were changed to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 MidEast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Indian SubCo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Pacific Islander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Other/Multi-racial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\text{MEETH}$ values were changed to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Hispanic-Mexican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Hispanic Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Hispanic Non-Specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| New formats | The formats $\text{TYPEADM}$, $\text{YNUAFMT}$, $\text{MARSKPSC}$, $\text{RACEKPSC}$, $\text{INCNCRTY}$, $\text{EXCNCRTY}$, $\text{SKCNCRTY}$, $\text{BIOPTY}$, $\text{IF}$, $\text{VASCCHG}$, $\text{PUMPTY}$ were added to the 2017 SAF format catalog; details are included in the What’s New in 2017 text file included with data requests. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables with format changes:</th>
<th>In the 2017 Transplant SAF, the following variables were assigned new a format:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{ABDOMINAL_AORTA_CANNUL_DT}$, $\text{AGONAL_BEGIN_DT}$, $\text{BRAIN_DTH_TIME}$, $\text{CONSENT_TIME}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTDLU}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTEKI}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTHR}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTIN}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTINS1}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTINS2}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTILI}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTLIS1}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTLIS2}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTLKI}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTLUL}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTLUR}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTPA}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTPAS1}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTPAS2}$, $\text{DCD_RECOV_DTRKI}$, $\text{PORTAL_VEIN_CANNUL_DT}$, $\text{PULM_ART_CANNUL_DT}$, $\text{THORACIC_AORTA_CANNUL_DT}$, $\text{WITHDRAWL_DT}$</td>
<td>were assigned $\text{DATETIME22}$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $\text{BMTX}$, $\text{CONSENT\_ATTORNEY}$, $\text{CONSENT\_DON\_CARD}$, $\text{CONSENT\_DON\_REGIS}$, $\text{CONSENT\_DRIVE\_LIC}$, $\text{HRTX}$, $\text{INTX}$, $\text{KITX}$, $\text{LITX}$, $\text{LUTX}$, $\text{PATX}$, $\text{PITX}$ were assigned $\text{T\_F}$. |

| $\text{DDCLMPTM}$ was assigned $\text{TIME}$. |

| $\text{LT\_KI\_GLOMERUL}$ and $\text{RT\_KI\_GLOMERUL}$ were assigned $\text{KI\_GLUMR}$. |

| $\text{DDOD}$, $\text{INSULIN\_RES\_DT}$, $\text{METH\_CLS2\_TYP\_DT}$, $\text{UNOSGF\_PA\_DT}$ were assigned $\text{MMDDYY10}$.
### Format and Label Changes (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified labels</th>
<th>We corrected spelling errors in some variable labels, and some labels were changed to more accurately describe the variables.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The labels for Medical Evidence Form 2718 variables include question numbers from a different version of the Medical Evidence Forms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We have made label changes in TX SAF to indicate the section the variable belongs to in the OPTN form. Variable labels with prefix “KRF” in previous TXFUUNOS_KP SAF, were changed to “KPF”. This was because KP transplant is not the only file containing pediatric-only variables; it is not necessary to distinguish it in the KP file. Instead, for all pediatric-only variables, we appended “(Pediatric Only)” to the end of their labels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Methodology Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RXHIST and RXHIST60</th>
<th>When claims are included in Treatment History files RXHIST and RXHIST60, claims that had zero dialysis sessions, and occurred in hospitals, and had a period length &lt;=7 were removed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical Evidence Form data</td>
<td>We improved the FORMVERSION variable. In previous years, the Coordinating Center determined which Medical Evidence Form version was used for a given patient via an algorithm based on important dates of service. We now use the FORMVERSION provided by CMS as this is reliable for most records. If that is missing, we then calculate the variable value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTN</td>
<td>New versions of the OPTN forms have been added to Appendix D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Getting Started

Work on a study typically consists of 1) determining the study cohort (patients) and 2) study variables, 3) selecting the variables from the datasets in which they are stored, 4) merging the selected variables into one or more datasets for analysis, and finally, 5) performing the statistical analyses of the data. This section offers dataset examples of this process for first-time users of the USRDS SAFs.

Determining the study cohort is particularly critical when performing analysis of Medicare Claims data. Medicare claims data provide a complete picture only for those patients for whom Medicare is the primary insurance payer. For patients with other healthcare insurers or for whom Medicare is a secondary payer, information is not captured for hospitalizations or other treatment paid for by alternate insurance. For this reason, the inclusion of Medicare claims for patients with other insurers or with Medicare as a secondary payer will likely lead to inaccurate results.

To perform an analysis restricted to Medicare as primary payer patients, the Payer History File should be used to identify claims falling into periods when Medicare is confirmed as the primary payer. We suggest using the SAS syntax in Example 9: Create a Patient Cohort of 2008 Medicare Primary Incident Patients as a guide; the example relies on the Payer History File (see Section 4: Payer History).

All USRDS data are stored in SAS datasets that were created in a Windows environment and can be directly used only on a Windows operating system. Using the datasets on another computer platform will require moving and converting the data to SAS datasets for that platform. Using another data analysis system will also require a conversion to a format compatible with that system.

We provide examples using basic SAS code. New SAS users should take classes, consult colleagues, or otherwise become familiar with the SAS system. Regardless of SAS experience, all users must know the following:

- The location of the SAS dataset
- The location of the SAS catalog of FORMATS

In the examples, the datasets and the FORMAT catalog are assumed to be in the same directory, namely, C:\SAF. Two SAS statements are needed to point to this information:

LIBNAME saf “c:\saf”; * Directory location of the data;

LIBNAME LIBRARY “c:\saf”; * Directory location of format catalog;

Always assume that these two statements are required in all code. (See Appendix C: Data Formatting for additional information.) In actual analyses, the datasets and the format catalog may be stored in different directories.

BASIC SAS USE

The SAS CONTENTS procedure generates a list of all variables in the dataset and a label associated with each. The information in this label is usually an adequate indication of whether the variable will be in use; PROC CONTENTS is always the best method for obtaining the latest variable list, however, as last-minute updates may not be reflected in the database documentation (see Appendix B: Data File Descriptions).
Note: In the following program examples, SAS commands, keywords, and procedures are in uppercase text. Datasets, comments, and variables in which SAS will perform operations are in lowercase text.

To determine the contents of the PATIENTS dataset or any other dataset, use the following code (remember to include the two LIBNAME requirement, as seen above).

```sas
PROC CONTENTS DATA=saf.patients;
  TITLE1 ‘DATASET: saf.patients’;
RUN;
```

To view the data, use the SAS procedure PRINT to list all observations of the dataset:

```sas
PROC PRINT data=saf.patients;
  TITLE1 ‘DATASET: saf.patients’;
RUN;
```

A dataset can contain millions of observations. To print the first 500, use the following code:

```sas
PROC PRINT DATA=saf.patients (OBS=500);
  TITLE1 ‘DATASET: saf.patients’;
RUN;
```

To print a group of observations other than the first N observations, use the following code; this example prints observations 1,500-2,000, inclusive:

```sas
PROC PRINT DATA=saf.patients (FIRSTOBS=1500 OBS=2000);
  TITLE1 ‘DATASET: saf.patients’;
RUN;
```

A dataset can contain hundreds of variables. Print selected variables using the VAR statement:

```sas
PROC PRINT DATA=saf.patients (OBS=500);
  VAR usrds_id sex race incyear;
  TITLE1 ‘DATASET: saf.patients’;
RUN;
```

There are two parts to the “DATA=” expression. The first is the directory location, defined by the LIBNAME, and the second is the dataset name. The first part of the expression (e.g. saf, the directory location) implies that the dataset is permanent. Our later examples do not include this expression. Thus the datasets created will automatically be deleted when SAS is closed. To permanently save a dataset, the first part of the DATA expression must be included.
**SAS FORMATS FOR USRDS DATA**

The information contained in a dataset is typically coded. Thus, the variable GENDER may have the values F, M, or U, where “F” is the coded value for female, “M” is the value for male, and “U” is the value for unknown. Using one character instead of several saves disk storage, but because the coded values are not always easy for users to understand, a format is assigned to translate them. Many variables have been assigned formats by the USRDS. In each program, SAS must be told where the catalog of these formats are. Assuming that the format catalog is saved in the directory C:\SAF, then the following SAS LIBNAME makes the formats accessible to your SAS programs:

```sas
LIBNAME LIBRARY "c:\saf"; * Directory location of format catalog;
```

The SAS keyword LIBRARY must be included because it tells SAS to look for formats in the directory, C:\SAF. Other methods can accomplish this, but not as easily. To bypass the use of formats, use the following two SAS statements before running any SAS procedures:

```sas
LIBNAME LIBRARY;
OPTIONS NOFMTERR;
```

Bypassing the use of formats allows you to see raw data instead of the formatted values, which may be useful when you need to write SAS IF statements to control the flow of your program in a SAS data step. (See Appendix C: Data Formatting for a tabular list of the formats with their coded values.)

**COMMENT LINES**

Comment lines in the SAS code are written like this:

```sas
/* Comment line */

* Comment line;
```

These can include any descriptive comment. The use of comments is optional but strongly recommended.

**THE SAF DIRECTORY**

Throughout this section, SAF is used as the permanent SAS LIBNAME. It is assumed that all the USRDS SAF datasets and catalogs have been placed in this directory. If the datasets have been placed in the WINDOWS directory C:\SAF, then the following SAS LIBNAME would point to the SAF datasets:

```sas
LIBNAME saf "c:\saf";
```

Note: The datasets may be loaded into any directory, with the directory in the LIBNAME changed accordingly.
**SAS Examples**

**EXAMPLE 1: PATIENT COHORT INCLUDED IN USRDS ANNUAL DATA REPORT (ADR)**

*-- Patients are flagged with adrind=0 due to inconsistent dates or incomplete identifiers including patients with first service date and death date on the same day, or no first service date. --*;

DATA adr_patients non_adr_patients;
    SET saf.patients;
    IF adrind=1 THEN OUTPUT adr_patients;/*adrind=1, ADR patient*/
    ELSE OUTPUT non_adr_patients;/*adrind=0, non-ADR patient*/
RUN;

**EXAMPLE 2: INCIDENT COHORT**

*-- Create a patient cohort of incident patients from 2000 to 2010 --*;

DATA inc2000_2010 (KEEP=usrds_id first_se incyear first_modality);
    SET saf.patients;
    IF 2000<=incyear<=2010 AND adrind=1; /*only pull ADR patients*/
RUN;

PROC FREQ DATA=inc2000_2010;
    TABLE incyear;
    TITLE 'Frequency Distribution of Incident Patients 2000 - 2010 by Incident Year';
RUN;

PROC FREQ DATA=inc2000_2010;
    TABLE incyear * first_modality;
    TITLE 'Frequency Distribution of Incident Patients 2000 - 2010 by Incident Year and Modality';
RUN;
EXAMPLE 3: INCIDENT PATIENT DISTRIBUTION BY DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROC FREQ DATA=saf.patients;
   TABLE incagec sex race disgrpc;
   WHERE (incy = 2010 AND adrind=1);
   TITLE 'Frequency Distribution of 2010 Incident Patients by Demographic Data';
RUN;

EXAMPLE 4: POINT PREVALENT COHORT

*-- Create a patient cohort of point prevalent dialysis patients who were alive on January 1, 2010. --*;
DATA pre_2010 (KEEP=usrds_id rxgroup);
   SET saf.rxhist60;
   BY usrds_id begdate;
   IF (begdate <= MDY(1,1,2010)) AND
      ( (enddate >= MDY(1,1,2010) and death_date ne MDY(1,1,2010))) AND
      (rxgroup NOT IN ("B", "X", "Z", "T")) THEN
      OUTPUT;
RUN;

PROC FREQ DATA=pre_2010;
   TABLE rxgroup;
   TITLE 'Frequency Distribution of 1-1-2010 Point Prevalent Patients by Modality';
RUN;

EXAMPLE 5: 2005 INCIDENT PATIENT SURVIVAL RATES (KAPLAN-MEIER)

*-- Calculate survival rates of 2005 incident dialysis patients using Kaplan-Meier method, censoring at transplant. 
Note that this does not account for patients lost-to-follow-up. We recommend using the information from the variable RXGROUP in the RXHIST file to identify these patients and their follow-up periods.--*;
DATA inc_2005_s;
   SET saf.patients (where=((incy = 2005) AND (first_modality ^= "T") AND adrind=1)
   KEEP=usrds_id adrind first_se incy first_modality died tx1date);
   BY usrds_id;
* Calculate the survival time (in months) of each incident patient with 12/31/2010 as maximum censoring date;

\[
t = \frac{\text{MIN}(\text{died}, \text{tx1date}, \text{MDY}(12,31,2010)) - \text{first_se} + 1)}{30.4375};
\]

IF (t < 0) THEN t = 0;

* Determine whether the patient is censored. 12/31/2010 is used as start date of censoring;

\[
c = (\text{MIN}(\text{died}, \text{tx1date}, \text{MDY}(12,31,2010)) = \text{died}) \text{ AND } (\text{MIN}(\text{died}, \text{tx1date}, \text{MDY}(12,31,2010)) \not= \text{tx1date});
\]

RUN;

PROC LIFETEST DATA=inc_2005_s METHOD=KM NOTABLE PLOTS=(s) OUTSURV=surv2005 CONFTYPE=LINEAR lineprinter;
TIME t*c(0);
TITLE '2005 Incident Dialysis Patients Survival Rates';
RUN;

**EXAMPLE 6: MERGE WITH MEDICAL EVIDENCE FILE (CMS 2728)**

*-- Demonstrate a way to extract comorbid conditions for a pre-defined study cohort from the Medical Evidence File. --*

DATA inc2008_me;
MERGE saf.patients (IN=x1
WHERE=(incyear=2008 AND adrind=1)
KEEP=usrds_id adrind first_se incyear first_modality)
saf.medevid (IN=x2
KEEP=usrds_id cancer cararr carfail cva diabins diabprim dysrhyt hyper ihd mi pulmon pvasc como_canc);
BY usrds_id;
IF x1 AND x2;
IF (FIRST.usrds_id);
RUN;
EXAMPLE 7: 2008 INCIDENT PATIENT SURVIVAL RATES (KAPLAN-MEIER)

*-- Show a survival rate calculation stratified by comorbid patient condition, not censored at transplant. -*;

DATA inc2008_me;
  MERGE saf.patients (IN=x1 WHERE=(incyear=2008 AND adrind=1) KEEP=usrds_id adrind first_se incyear first_modality);
  saf.medevid (IN=x2 KEEP=usrds_id cancer cararr carfail cva diabins diabprim dysrhyt hyper ihd mi pulmon pvasc como_canc);
       BY usrds_id;
  IF x1 AND x2;
  IF (FIRST.usrds_id);
RUN;

DATA inc_2008_s;
  SET inc2008_me;
  BY usrds_id;
  IF (UPCASE(cancer) IN ("1" "2" "Y" "N") or UPCASE(como_canc) IN ("1" "2" "Y" "N"));
  IF (UPCASE(cancer) IN ("1" "Y") or UPCASE(como_canc) IN ("1" "Y")) THEN can = 1;
  ELSE can = 0;
  * Calculate the survival time (in months) of each incident patient. For survival time in day, leave denominator 30.4775 out ;
  t = (MIN(died, MDY(12,31,2010)) - first_se + 1) / 30.4375;
  IF (t < 0) THEN t = 0;
  * Determine whether the patient is censored.;
  c = (MIN(died, MDY(12,31,2010)) = died);
RUN;

PROC LIFETEST DATA=inc_2008_s METHOD=KM NOTABLE PLOTS=(s) OUTSURV=surv2008 CONFTYPE=LINEAR lineprinter;
  TIME t*c(0);
  STRATA can;
  TITLE '2008 Incident ESRD Patients Survival Rates by Co-Morbidity';
RUN;
EXAMPLE 8: WAITING LIST ACCESS RATE

*-- Determine waiting list access rate to December 31, 2010, of 2008 incident dialysis patients who were registered in the transplant waiting list. --*

DATA txwait;
   SET saf.waitseq_ki saf.waitseq_kp;
RUN;

PROC SORT data=txwait;
   By usrds_id;
RUN;

DATA inc2008_me;
   MERGE saf.patients (IN=x1 WHERE=(incyear=2008 AND adrind=1) KEEP=usrds_id adrind first_se incyear first_modality)
      saf.medevid (IN=x2 KEEP=usrds_id cancer cararr carfail cva diabins diabprim dysrhyt hyper ihd mi pulmon pvasc como_canc);
   BY usrds_id;
   IF x1 AND x2;
   IF (FIRST.usrds_id);
RUN;

DATA inc_2008_w;
   MERGE inc2008_me (IN=x1) txwait (IN=x2 KEEP=usrds_id begin);
   BY usrds_id;
   IF x1;
   * Extract only 2008 incident dialysis patients.;
   IF (first_modality ^= "T");
   * Make sure all dialysis patients who were not put on the waiting list;
   * are censored at the end of follow-up period.;
   IF x1 AND ^x2 THEN begin = MDY(1,1,2011);
   * Calculate the waiting list access time (in month) of each incident patient.;
   t = (MIN(begin, died, MDY(12,31,2010)) - first_se + 1) / 30.4375;
   IF (t < 0) THEN t = 0;
* Determine whether the patient is censored.;

c = (MIN(begin, died, MDY(12,31,2010)) = begin);

RUN;

PROC LIFETEST DATA=inc_2008_w METHOD=KM NOTABLE PLOTS=(s) OUTSURV=wait2008
CONFTYPE=LINEAR lineprinter;
   TIME t*c(0);
   TITLE '2008 Incident Dialysis Patients Waiting List Access Rates';
RUN;

EXAMPLE 9: CREATE A PATIENT COHORT OF 2008 MEDICARE PRIMARY INCIDENT PATIENTS

*-- Combine payer information with original incident cohort and limit to patients with Medicare primary
payers at first service date. --*;

DATA medicare_inc_2008;
   MERGE saf.patients (IN=x1 WHERE=(incyear=2008 AND adrind=1))
         saf.payhist(IN=x2 KEEP=usrds_id mcare payer dualelig begdate enddate);
   BY usrds_id;
   IF x1 AND (payer="MPAB" OR payer="MPO") AND begdate <= first_se and enddate>=first_se;
RUN;

EXAMPLE 10: TOTAL ADMISSION RATE

*-- Link patients file with hospitalization file; gather hospitalizations within period of interest (includes
only patients with hospitalizations). --*;

DATA hospdat;
   MERGE saf.patients (in=x WHERE=(incyear=2012 AND adrind=1))
         saf.inc2012 (in=y KEEP=usrds_id clm_from clm_thru);
   BY usrds_id;
   IF x AND y;
   sfu = first_se + 91;
   efu = MIN(died, first_se+455);
   IF (died NE .) AND (died < sfu) THEN DELETE;
   IF ((sfu>clm_thru) OR (efu<clm_from)) THEN DELTE;
   FORMAT sfu efu MMDDYY10.;
RUN;
PROC SORT DATA=hospdat;
   BY usrds_id clm_from clm_thru;
RUN;

DATA rate;
   SET hospdat;
   BY usrds_id;
   RETAIN n_hos n_adm exptime rt_adm;
   IF FIRST.usrds_id THEN
      DO; /* INITIALIZE RETAIN VARIABLES FOR EACH USRDS_ID */
         n_hos=0;
         n_adm=0;
         exptime=0;
         rt_adm=0;
      END;
   * FOR HOSPITALIZATIONS OVERLAPPING STUDY START ONLY COUNT;
   * HOSPITAL DAYS, NOT AS ADMISSION;
   IF (clm_from<sfu<=clm_thru) THEN n_hos=n_hos+(MIN(clm_thru,efu)-sfu+1);
   * IF WITHIN STUDY PERIOD COUNT DAYS (UP TO STUDY END) AND;
   * ADMISSIONS;
   ELSE IF (sfu<=clm_from<=efu) THEN
      DO;
         n_hos=n_hos+(MIN(clm_thru,efu)-clm_from +1);
         n_adm=n_adm+1;
      END;
   IF LAST.usrds_id THEN /* Output one record per USRDS_ID */
      DO;
         exptime=(efu-sfu-n_hos+1)/365;
         IF exptime>0 then rt_adm=n_adm*1000/exptime;
         ELSE IF (exptime<0) THEN exptime=0;
         OUTPUT;
      END;
RUN;
DATA rateall;
MERGE saf.patients (IN=x1 WHERE=(incyear=2012 AND adrind=1)) rate (IN=x2);
BY usrds_id;
sfu = first_se + 91;
efu = MIN(died, first_se+455);
IF (died NE .) AND (died < sfu) THEN DELETE;
FORMAT sfu efu MMDDYY10.;
IF x2=0 THEN
DO;
   n_hos=0;
n_adm=0;
   rt_adm=0;
exptime=(efu-sfu+1)/365;
END;
IF x1 THEN OUTPUT;
RUN;

PROC TABULATE DATA=rateall;
VAR rt_adm;
CLASS sex;
WEIGHT exptime;
TABLE sex=""*rt_adm=""*mean*f=8.1;
KEYLABEL mean="";
TITLE1 'Total Admission rates per 1,000 patient years by sex';
RUN;
EXAMPLE 11: FIRST ADMISSION RATE

*-- Link patients file with hospitalization file; gather hospitalizations within the period of interest (includes only patients with hospitalizations). --*;

DATA hospdat;
   MERGE saf.patients (in=x WHERE=(incyear=2012 AND adrind=1))
      hosp.inc2012 (in=y KEEP=usrds_id clm_from clm_thru);
   BY usrds_id;
   IF x AND y;
   sfu = first_se + 91;
   efu = MIN(died, first_se+455);
   IF (died NE .) AND (died < sfu) THEN DELETE;
   IF ((sfu>clm_thru) or (efu<clm_from)) then delete;
   FORMAT sfu efu MMDDYY10.;
RUN;

DATA frate;
   SET hospdat;
   BY usrds_id;
   RETAIN n_adm exptime rt_adm flag;
   IF FIRST.usrds_id THEN
      DO; /* INITIALIZE RETAIN VARIABLES FOR EACH USRDS_ID */
         n_adm=0;
         exptime=0;
         rt_adm=0;
         flag=0;
      END;
      * FOR HOSPITALIZATIONS OVERLAPPING STUDY START SET EXPOSURE;
      * TIME TO ZERO TO EXCLUDE FROM RATE;
      IF (clm_from<sfu<=clm_thru) THEN
         DO;
            exptime=0;
            flag=1;
         END;
   END;
* IF WITHIN STUDY PERIOD COUNT ADMISSION AND CALCULATE;
* TIME TO ZERO TO EXCLUDE FROM RATE;
ELSE IF (sfu<=clm_from<=efu) AND flag=0 THEN
  DO;
    exptime=(clm_from-sfu+1)/365;
    n_adm=n_adm+1;
    flag=1;
  END;
IF LAST.usrsd_id THEN /* OUTPUT ONE RECORD PER USRS_D ID */
  DO;
    IF exptime>0 THEN rt_fadm=n_adm*1000/exptime;
    OUTPUT;
  END;
RUN;

/*-- Combine result with the original incident sample to include patients without hospitalizations. --*/;
DATA frateall;
  MERGE saf.patients (IN=x1 WHERE=(incyear=2012 AND adrind=1)) frate (IN=x2);
  BY usrsd_id;
  sfu = first_se + 91;
  efu = MIN(died, first_se+455);
  IF (died NE .) AND (died < sfu) THEN DELETE;
  FORMAT sfu efu MMDDYY10.;
  IF x2=0 THEN
    DO;
      n_adm=0;
      rt_fadm=0;
      exptime=(efu-sfu+1)/365;
    END;
  IF x1 THEN OUTPUT;
RUN;
PROC TABULATE DATA=frateall;
  VAR rt_fadm;
  CLASS sex;
  WEIGHT exptime;
  TABLE sex=""*rt_fadm=""*mean*f=8.1;
  KEYLABEL mean="";
  TITLE1 'First Admission rates per 1,000 patient years';
RUN;

EXAMPLE 12: TOTAL COST AND COST BY SERVICE TYPE

/*total ESRD Medicare cost for institutional claims 2012*/
PROC SQL;
  CREATE TABLE total_in_cost AS
  SELECT SUM(clm_amt) AS cost
  FROM saf.inc2012;
QUIT;

/*total ESRD Physician/Supplier cost in 2012 claims file*/
PROC SQL;
  CREATE TABLE total_ps_cost AS
  SELECT SUM(pmtamt) AS cost
  FROM saf.ps2012;
QUIT;

/*total ESRD Medicare cost for institutional claims 2012, applied to 2012 and prior years' claims SAFs.
Records for different types of services are in separate files in 2013 claim SAF*/
PROC SQL;
  CREATE TABLE in_cost_bytype AS
  SELECT hcfasaf,
       SUM(clm_amt) AS cost
  FROM saf.inc2012
  GROUP BY hcfasaf;
QUIT;
/*total ESRD Physician/Supplier cost in 2012 claims file, applied to 2012 and prior years' claims SAFs. Records for different types of services are in separate files in 2013 claims SAF, and the pmtamt variable is in line file */
PROC SQL;
CREATE TABLE total_ps_cost AS
SELECT hcfasaf,
    SUM(pmtamt) AS cost
FROM saf.ps2012
GROUP BY hcfasaf;
QUIT;

EXAMPLE 13: PAYER STATUS OF TRANSPLANT PATIENTS
/*-- the payer status of 2015 prevalent transplant patients by age group (0-64, 65+) and years after transplant (0-3 years, 3+years) */
PROC FORMAT;
    value agegrp
    0-64='0-64'
    65-high='65plus';
RUN;
PROC FORMAT;
    value pl
    0-3='0-3'
    3-high='3plus';
RUN;
DATA tx2015;
    set core.rxhist60;
    where begdate<=MDY(1,1,2015) and enddate>=MDY(1,1,2015) and rxgroup='T';
RUN;
DATA payhist2015;
    set core.payhist;
    where begdate<=MDY(1,1,2015) and (enddate>=MDY(1,1,2015) or enddate=);
RUN;
PROC SQL;
create table tx2015_payhist2015 as
select a.usrds_id, a.rxgroup, a.begdate, a.enddate,
b.begdate as begdate_payer,
b.enddate as enddate_payer,
b.payer,
b.mcare,
b.dualelig
from tx2015 a left join payhist2015 b
on a.usrds_id=b.usrds_id;
QUIT;

PROC SQL;
create table tx2015_payhist2015_patinfo as
select a.*, b.born,b.died,  b.first_mcare_pta_start, b.first_mcare_ptb_start,
    b.first_mcare_pta_end, b.first_mcare_ptb_end,
    b.first_mcare_pta_status, b.first_mcare_ptb_status,
    b.first_mcare_pta_reason, b.first_mcare_ptb_reason
from tx2015_payhist2015 a left join core.patients b
on a.usrds_id=b.usrds_id;
QUIT;

DATA tx2015_payhist2015_patinfo;
set tx2015_payhist2015_patinfo;
age_in2015=floor((mdy(1,1,2015)-born)/365.25);
periodlength_year=floor(sum(mdy(1,1,2015), -begdate)/365.25);
RUN;

PROC FREQ data=temp.tx2015_payhist2015_left_patinfo;
table periodlength_year*age_in2015*mcare /list missing;
format age_in2015 agegrp. periodlength_year pl;
RUN;
PROC FREQ data=temp.tx2015_payhist2015_left_patinfo;
  table periodlength_year*age_in2015*payer /list missing;
  format age_in2015 agegrp. periodlength_year pl.;
RUN;

Methods & Databases

SECTION 1: ESRD DATA AND THE USRDS ESRD DATABASE

A primary objective of the USRDS Coordinating Center is to provide all relevant ESRD data as an integrated and consistent database system for outcomes research. The USRDS ESRD database includes ESRD patient demographic and diagnosis data, biochemical values, dialysis claims, and information on treatment history, hospitalization events, and physician/supplier services.

DATA SOURCES

The data used by the USRDS Coordinating Center originates from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN), the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), the ESRD Networks, the U.S. Census, and select data from past and current USRDS Special Studies.

Consolidated Renal Operations in a Web-enabled Network (CROWN)

The primary source of ESRD patient information for the USRDS is currently the CMS Consolidated Renal Operations in a Web-enabled Network (CROWN) data system. This database contains demographic, diagnostic, and treatment history information for all Medicare beneficiaries with ESRD. Data for non-Medicare patients has also been included since 1995 when ESRD Medical Evidence Report forms (CMS 2728) became mandatory for all ESRD patients.

The original CMS ESRD database was named the Program Management and Medical Information System (PMMIS); this was replaced by the Renal Beneficiary and Utilization System (REBUS) in 1995. Having advanced its database technology, in the fall of 2003 CMS migrated the REBUS database into an Oracle relational database system named the Renal Management Information System (REMIS).

In 2003, the Standard Information Management System (SIMS) database of the ESRD Networks was also established; SIMS included information to track a patient’s movement in and out of ESRD facilities and their transitions from one treatment modality to another. The REMIS database originally included all patients who were alive and had ESRD as of January 1, 1995, or were incident after this date, an approach adopted from the procedure used to create the SIMS database. However, because the REMIS system as it existed did not include legacy patients for longitudinal studies, CMS expanded it in the fall of 2004 to include all ESRD patients. Together, REMIS and SIMS comprised the CROWN system. In May 2012, Internet-based access to the data system, CROWNWeb, was rolled out nationally. It replaced the functionality of SIMS, interfaced with REMIS, and also provided new data to support the calculation of clinical measures.

CMS regularly updates the REMIS/CROWNWeb database, using the Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB), Medicare inpatient and outpatient claims, the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) transplant database, ESRD Medical Evidence Report forms (CMS 2728), and ESRD Death Notification forms (CMS 2746).
CMS has established data integrity rules to ensure accurate identification of patients in the CMS databases. Each ESRD patient (new or existing) is identified with a unique patient identification number common to both databases, guaranteeing that data for all patients are consistently managed over time.

Working solely with data from the Medical Evidence Report, the USRDS Coordinating Center established the first ESRD service data for these patients, but could not consistently generate detailed treatment histories. The integration of the CROWNWeb event data into the ESRD database, however, allows for examination of issues that arise in the non-Medicare ESRD population, such as the large and growing number of lost-to-follow-up patients, and for gathering data on patients for whom no initial modality or death data were previously available.

**CMS ESRD Medical Evidence Report Form (CMS 2728)**

The CMS ESRD Medical Evidence Report form (CMS 2728) is used to register patients at the onset of ESRD and must be submitted by dialysis or transplant providers within 45 days of treatment initiation. It establishes Medicare eligibility for individuals who previously were not Medicare beneficiaries, reclassifies previously eligible Medicare beneficiaries as ESRD patients, and provides demographic and diagnostic information for all new ESRD patients regardless of Medicare entitlement. CMS, USRDS, and renal research communities rely on the form to ascertain patient demographics, primary diagnosis, comorbidities, and biochemical test results at the time of ESRD onset.

Before 1995, dialysis units and transplant centers were required to file the Medical Evidence Report form only for Medicare-eligible patients. Since the 1995 revision, however, providers are required to complete the form for all new ESRD patients regardless of Medicare eligibility status. The 1995 revised form included new fields for comorbid conditions, employment status, expanded race categories, ethnicity, and biochemical data at ESRD onset. The third major revision of the Medical Evidence form in May 2005 remedied several shortcomings of the 1995 form and its earlier versions and is described in more detail in the next section. Key additions target pre-ESRD care and vascular access use, and additional new fields collect information on glycosylated hemoglobin (HgbA1c) and lipid testing, the frequency of hemodialysis (HD) sessions, and whether patients are informed of transplant options. The Medical Evidence form is our most reliable source of information about the cause of a patient’s ESRD. Because the list of diseases has been revised, the USRDS stores the codes from each Medical Evidence form version so that detail is not lost through conversion of one set of codes to the other.

Only one Medical Evidence form (CMS 2728) is expected for each ESRD patient for the entire ESRD treatment period; however, multiple forms may be filed for patients whose insurance eligibility changes due to therapy changes. For example, transplant patients with functioning grafts lose Medicare benefits after three years if ESRD was the sole qualification for Medicare eligibility. If such a patient experiences graft failure and returns to dialysis, a second Medical Evidence Report must be filed to reestablish Medicare eligibility. Dialysis patients who discontinue dialysis for more than 12 months also lose Medicare ESRD benefits. If such a patient returns to dialysis or undergoes a kidney transplant, a second Medical Evidence form must be filed to reestablish Medicare eligibility.
2005 Revision of the Medical Evidence Report Form (CMS 2728)

The revision of the Medical Evidence Report form (CMS 2728) introduced in May 2005 includes new data collection methods and new variables. It allows users to specify whether the Medicare registration is initial (new ESRD patient), re-entitlement (reinstating Medicare entitlement after a lapse due to no claims being filed for 12 or more months or a functioning graft for 36 or more months), or supplemental (updating missing or incorrect information). This clarifies the intended use of the form without recourse to the “First Regular Dialysis Start Date,” and helps chronicle the historical sequence of multiple forms for the same patient.

Multiple patient races can be specified. CMS provides a single variable containing a concatenated string representing all selected race codes with binary digits (0, 1). This string must be decoded to determine patient race (or races). Similar formatting requirements apply to information on comorbid conditions, medical coverage, and reasons for not informing patients of transplant options. Because the required programming is substantial, for each of these four data categories, we include the original variable with the concatenated string and create a new variable with the decoded values (i.e., information noted on form CMS 2728). However, the decoded multiple race values must be presented as “Other” or “Multiple race” to maintain consistency with the legacy race information.

Data fields for nephrologist care, dietitian care, and access type were also added, with their respective time intervals relative to ESRD onset. Data on the laboratory values hematocrit, creatinine clearance, BUN, and urea clearance are no longer collected. Added laboratory values include HgbA1c and lipid profiles (TC, LDL, HDL cholesterol, and TG). Additional fields relate to whether patients were informed of transplant options (and if not, why not), donor type, comorbid conditions, and primary diagnoses were also added.

2015 Revision of the Medical Evidence Report Form (CMS 2728)

Changes in the 2015 Medical Evidence Report form include:

- ICD-10-CM codes are used to report item 15, Primary Cause of Renal Failure. A reference list of ICD-10-CM codes for primary cause of renal failure is included.

- An option for <6 months was added to the following three questions in item 18, Prior to ESRD therapy:
  - Did patient receive exogenous erythropoietin or equivalent?
  - Was patient under care of a nephrologist?
  - Was patient under care of kidney dietitian?

- The date is no longer required in the following two fields in item 19: a.2 Serum Albumin Lower Limit and a.3 Lab Method Used (BCG or BCP)


CMS ESRD Death Notification Form (CMS 2746)

The ESRD Death Notification form (CMS 2746) is used to report the death of ESRD patients. According to CMS policy, this form must be submitted by dialysis or transplant providers within 30 days of a patient’s death, and provides the date, primary and secondary causes of death, reasons for discontinuation of renal replacement therapy, if applicable, and evidence of hospice care prior to death. It is the primary source of death information for CMS and the USRDS, documenting more than 99% of deaths. The USRDS also utilizes several supplemental data sources for ascertaining date and cause of death.
CMS Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB)

The CMS Medicare EDB is the designated repository of all Medicare beneficiary enrollment and entitlement data, including current and historical information on beneficiary residence, Medicare as Secondary Payer (MSP) status, and Health Insurance Claim/Beneficiary Identification Code (HIC/BIC) cross-referencing.

CMS Paid Claims Records

Inpatient transplant and outpatient dialysis claim records are sometimes used to identify new ESRD patients for whom no Medical Evidence Report form has been filed. These patients are most likely to be non-Medicare patients or beneficiaries already receiving Medicare because of age or disability. They will eventually be entered into the CROWNWeb database, and hence the USRDS database, through the claims records.

For patients without Medical Evidence Reports, these claims are the only reliable information from which to determine first ESRD service dates. These paid claims records, however, only supplement and do not replace other sources of information on incidence and prevalence. Bills for some Medicare-eligible patients may not be submitted to or paid by Medicare. These patients are Medicare as secondary payer (MSP) patients covered by private insurance, Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs), Medicaid, or the Veterans Health Administration (VHA).

Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Database

CMS began collecting data on all Medicare kidney transplants in the early 1980s. In 1984, the National Organ Transplant Act called for the creation of an Organ Procurement and Transplant Network (OPTN) to collect data and maintain a registry for organ matching and transplantation. The United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) was awarded the OPTN contract in 1988 to provide a national system to allocate donor organs and maintain a centralized data depository for organ transplants. OPTN also began collecting data on all transplants. The OPTN and CMS collection efforts were consolidated in 1994, and only OPTN continued to collect data on transplant donors and recipients.

CMS ESRD Standard Analytical Files

The CMS ESRD Standard Analytical Files (SAFs) contain data from final action claims submitted by Medicare beneficiaries, in which all adjustments have been resolved.

For Part A Institutional Claims, the USRDS uses the following 100% SAF claims:

- Inpatient
- Outpatient
- Skilled Nursing Facility
- Home Health Agency
- Hospice

For Part B Physician/Supplier, the USRDS uses the following 100% SAF claims:

- Physician/Supplier
- Durable Medical Equipment

CMS SAFs are updated each quarter through June of the following year when the annual files are finalized. Datasets for the current year are created six months into the year and are updated quarterly until finalized at 18 months, after which files are frozen and will not include late arriving claims. Annual files are thus approximately
98% complete. The USRDS 2017 SAFs include all claims up to December 31, 2015. Patient-specific demographic and diagnosis information, however, includes data as recent as June 2017.

**CMS 5% General Medicare SAF**

The CMS 5% general Medicare SAF has the same structure and data elements as the ESRD 100% SAF, but the data were extracted from the general Medicare billing database as a random sample of 5% of the entire Medicare population. Because the sample was selected using the last two digits of patient Social Security Numbers, the same group of Medicare beneficiaries is represented in the 5% SAFs each year, with exceptions for death, disenrollment, and new Medicare entitlements.

The USRDS Coordinating Center uses these files to conduct studies on Healthy People 2020 objectives, comparing preventive care and other non-ESRD disease treatments in general Medicare and ESRD patients. Also, these files are used to create the CKD cohort dataset.

**Clinical Performance Measures (CPM) Project**

CMS developed the ESRD CPM (formerly the ESRD Core Indicators Project) to collect information on the quality of care provided to dialysis patients. The data originate from surveys completed by primary care facilities and focus on dialysis adequacy measures, anemia management, and vascular access use. Additional clinical parameters such as albumin are also available. These data were collected annually beginning in 1994, using a random sample of patients aged 18 years and older, who were alive and on dialysis at the end of each calendar year; on average, about 8,500 in-center hemodialysis patients and 1,500 peritoneal dialysis patients were surveyed each year.

Data collection for all pediatric patients aged 12 to 17 years began in 2000, and in 2002 was expanded to all in-center hemodialysis patients aged younger than 18 years. Beginning in 2005, all peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients in the U.S. were sampled for the pediatric PD data collection. In anticipation of the national release of the CROWNWeb system and its supporting performance measures reports, CMS concluded its CPM project in 2009, making 2008 its final survey year. The USRDS Coordinating Center, in collaboration with CMS, provides CPM/USRDS merged data to the general research community.

**CMS Dialysis Facility Compare Data**

The USRDS uses the CMS Dialysis Facility Compare data to define chain and ownership information for each renal facility. Before the 2003 ADR, similar data were extracted from the Independent Renal Facility Cost Report (CMS 265-94).

**CMS Annual Facility Survey**

In addition to the CMS ESRD databases, independent ESRD patient counts are available from the CMS Annual Facility Survey (AFS; CMS 2744), that all Medicare-certified dialysis units and transplant centers are required to complete at the end of each calendar year. The AFS reports counts of patients being treated at the end of the year, new ESRD patients starting during the year, and patients who died during the year. Counts of Medicare and non-Medicare end-of-year patients are included. While AFS files do not carry patient-specific demographic and diagnosis information, they do provide independent patient counts used to complement the CMS patient-specific records.
CDC National Surveillance Data

From 1993-1997 and 1999-2002, the CDC used its survey National Surveillance of Dialysis-Associated Diseases in the U.S. to collect information from dialysis facilities. This included patient and staff counts, membrane types, reuse practices, water treatment methods, therapy types, vascular access use, antibiotic use, hepatitis vaccination and conversion rates (for both staff and patients), and the incidence of HIV, AIDS, and tuberculosis. None of the information is patient-specific. Because the CDC terminated this program in 2003, the last surveillance report is for 2002 data. The CDC did not conduct this survey in 1998.

Dialysis Morbidity and Mortality Study

The Dialysis Morbidity and Mortality Study (DMMS) was an observational study that collected data on demographics, comorbidity, laboratory values, treatment, socioeconomic factors, and insurance for a random sample of U.S. dialysis patients, using dialysis records. Data were collected on 6,000 ESRD patients in each of Waves I, III, and IV, and 4,500 patients in Wave II, a total of 22,500 patients over three years. Waves I, III, and IV were each prospective historical studies in which data were collected for patients receiving in-center hemodialysis on December 31, 1993. Data were abstracted from patient medical records, and each patient was followed from December 31, 1993, through the earliest of data abstraction, death, transplant, change in modality, or transfer to another facility. Wave II is a true prospective study of incident hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients for 1996 and some incident patients entering the ESRD program in the first part of the 1997 calendar year.

Case Mix Adequacy Study

The objectives of the USRDS Case Mix Adequacy Study (CMAS) of Dialysis were to:

- Establish the relationship between the dose of delivered dialysis therapy and mortality
- Determine the strength of this relationship when data are adjusted for comorbidity
- Assess how this relationship changes at different dialysis doses
- Assess how this relationship is affected by dialyzer reuse
- Assess the impact of different dialysis membranes on patient morbidity and mortality

The study consisted of two groups of patients: an incident sample of ESRD patients who began hemodialysis during 1990, and a prevalent sample of hemodialysis patients with ESRD onset before 1990. A total of 7,096 patients from 523 dialysis units were included, the pre- and post-BUN values needed to calculate delivered dialysis dose were present for approximately 3,300 patients. Ninety-four percent of these cases were matched to the USRDS database. The ESRD Networks collected these data in conjunction with their Medical Case Review data abstraction.

Case Mix Severity Study

Data were collected for 5,255 incident patients in 1986 and 1987 at 328 dialysis units nationwide. The objectives of this study were to:

- Estimate the correlation of comorbidity and other factors present at ESRD onset with subsequent mortality and hospitalization rates, adjusting for age, sex, race, and primary diagnosis
- Evaluate possible associations of these factors with reported causes of death
• Assess the distribution of comorbidity and other factors among patients using different treatment modalities

• Compare relative mortality rates by treatment modality, adjusting for selected comorbid conditions and other factors

**Pediatric Growth and Development**

The objectives of the USRDS Pediatric ESRD Growth and Development Study were to:

• Establish a baseline for assessing the relation of pediatric ESRD patient growth and sexual maturation to modality

• Establish a prototype for the ongoing collection of pediatric data

All patients prevalent in 1990 and born after December 31, 1970, were included in the study, a total of 3,067 patients at 548 dialysis units.

**Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis and Peritonitis Study**

The USRDS Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (CAPD) and Peritonitis Rates Study examined the relation of peritonitis episodes in CAPD patients to connection device technology and other factors. The study population included all patients newly starting CAPD in the first six months of 1989, up to a maximum of 14 patients per dialysis unit. All units providing CAPD training participated in the study. The sample includes 3,385 patients from 706 units.

**Active/Adipose**

Active/Adipose is a cohort study to investigate the value of exercise in ESRD. The study was designed to examine the paradox of obesity and survival in ESRD. The Active Adipose study is a prospective, multicenter observational study designed to characterize the prevalence and progression of frailty among patients undergoing hemodialysis for end-stage renal disease (ESRD).

Patients at least 18 years of age who were on hemodialysis for at least three months were enrolled in the study. The study duration is from 2009 to 2013. Total 771 patients in 14 dialysis centers were enrolled in the study. Participants were assessed using the validated Fried frailty index, which defines frailty as the presence of 3 or more criteria, including recent unintentional weight loss, reported exhaustion, low grip strength, slow walk speed, and low physical activity. Information was collected on participants’ demographics, medical history, activity status, and mood, and physical performance was assessed via tests of grip strength, walk speed, and body composition. Additionally, blood draws were conducted semi-annually for evaluation of nutrition, inflammation, and cardiac markers. All participants were followed for two years.

**The Transition of Care in Chronic Kidney Disease**

The Transition of Care in Chronic Kidney Disease (TCCKD) Special Study Center examines the transition of care to renal replacement therapy (RRT; i.e., dialysis or transplantation) in patients with very-late-stage (advanced) non-dialysis dependent (NDD) CKD. These are often people with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <25 ml/min/1.73 m².

From 01/01/2008 through 12/31/2012, 5,778 CKD patients who transitioned to RRT in Kaiser Permanente of Southern California were identified and linked to the USRDS ESRD database.
The TCCKD_MASTER_FILE contains USRDS_ID, demographics (i.e., age, race and ethnicity, marital status), and co-morbid conditions (i.e., coronary artery disease (CAD), weighted Charlson comorbidity index score at the time of RRT transition).

TCCKD_LAB contains USRDS_ID, vital signs (i.e. systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), body mass index (BMI)), and laboratory data (i.e., sodium, potassium, bicarbonate, uric acid, hemoglobin, white blood cells (WBC), platelets, thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Time periods are labeled as Q12 to Q1 to stand for from 12th quarter to the quarter before the RRT transition.

Quarterly averaged values of all Vital signs data, and Laboratory data are provided from 12th quarter to the quarter before the RRT transition.

Although this Special Study chapter also includes analyses of data from the Veteran’s Health Administration, these data are not available through the Coordinating Center.

**U.S. Census**

The U.S. population data are from the 2000 and 2010 U.S. Census and incorporate CDC postcensal and intercensal population estimates. The data and methods for these estimates are available at [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/bridged_race.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/bridged_race.htm). Both intercensal and postcensal estimate datasets are available at [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/bridged_race/data_documentation.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/bridged_race/data_documentation.htm). USRDS summarizes the data with multiple race categories at both the state and national levels.

**USRDS ESRD Database System**

The USRDS Coordinating Center has developed a centralized ESRD patient database by integrating data from the above data sources and establishing methods to identify patients with ESRD. We use this database to update and maintain data on demographics, clinical measurements, biochemical lab test results, renal replacement therapies, treatment history, and all medical service events reported in the Medicare Claims database. Through this patient-oriented database, we attempt to define each ESRD patient through multiple stages of data cleaning, conversion, validation, and consolidation. Establishment of a universal patient identification system was critical to ensure that unique patient identification numbers are assigned to each ESRD patient at the time of ESRD initiation and to accurately track counts and rates of incident and prevalent cohorts over time.

In 1994, the USRDS Coordinating Center introduced the Standard Analysis Files (SAFs) — not to be confused with the CMS Standard Analytical Files for Part A, and Part B Claims data — to help meet the ESRD data needs of a wide variety of research studies. These SAFs were subsequently enhanced to include not only ESRD clinical and claims data from CMS, but also transplant and waiting list data from OPTN. All SAFs are indexed or sorted by the unique USRDS-specified patient identification number, and patient identifiers (i.e., name, address, SSN, HIC/BIC) are removed to protect patient confidentiality. The USRDS Coordinating Center also uses the USRDS ESRD database to generate datasets used to create the tables, graphs, and maps in the USRDS ADR.

The USRDS ESRD database is updated quarterly with data obtained from the various data sources. The USRDS Coordinating Center receives CMS SAF claims, Facility Survey, Patient Lists and Patient Events, Medical Evidence Report, and Death Notification data, on a quarterly basis. We receive OPTN transplant and waiting list data monthly. The Medicare EDB is currently updated nearly quarterly. These multiple updates allow the USRDS Coordinating Center to assess the growth of the ESRD population, the demographic distribution of ESRD patients, and changes in the percent of patients diagnosed with major diseases secondary to ESRD.
SECTION 2: ESRD PATIENTS

ESRD is defined as chronic renal failure requiring renal replacement treatment — dialysis or transplant — to sustain life. It is not the same as acute renal failure, from which patients are expected to recover within weeks or months. Renal providers must immediately complete a Medical Evidence Report for all ESRD patients, to register them in the CMS ESRD database and to apply for Medicare eligibility if they were not previously eligible.

To establish the incident and prevalent cohorts by year, modality, primary cause of renal failure, and other factors, researchers require patient demographic, clinical, and treatment history data. This information can be obtained from the USRDS Core SAF dataset from the following files: PATIENTS, MEDEVID, RXHIST, RXHIST60, and PAYHIST.

IDENTIFYING ESRD PATIENTS

A person is identified as having ESRD when a physician certifies the disease on the Medical Evidence Report form (CMS 2728), or when there is other evidence of chronic dialysis that meets the criteria of ESRD or eligibility for a kidney transplant. Patients with acute kidney failure who are on dialysis for days or weeks, but who then recover kidney function, are excluded from the database if their Medical Evidence forms have not been submitted. Patients who die soon after kidney failure without receiving dialysis are sometimes not included in the database.

First ESRD Service Date

The first ESRD service date (FSD) is the single most important data element in the USRDS database, and each patient must, at a minimum, have a valid FSD. This date is used to determine each new patient’s incident year and the first year in which the patient is counted as prevalent. The date 90 days after the FSD is used as the starting point for many patient survival outcomes analyses. This 90-day rule allows each new ESRD patient to obtain Medicare services despite potential delays in completing the Medicare eligibility application process, and it provides an adequate period for patients to arrive at a stable and suitable dialytic treatment modality.

In most cases, the first service date is derived by identifying the earliest date of various potential indicators:

- The start of dialysis for chronic kidney failure as reported on the Medical Evidence form
- The first CROWNWeb/SIMS event
- A kidney transplant as reported on a CMS or OPTN transplant form, a Medical Evidence form, or a hospital inpatient claim, or
- The first Medicare dialysis claim
- There are two exceptions to the first ESRD service date determination:
  - The first service date is defined as the earlier of the CROWNWeb/SIMS event date and Medical Evidence form date if the following conditions are met:
    - the CROWNWeb/SIMS event and Medical Evidence form agree (occur within 30 days of each other),
    - both are more than 90 days after the first Medicare dialysis claim, and
    - there is no transplant event between the first dialysis claim and the earlier of the CROWNWeb/SIMS event date and Medical Evidence form date.
• If the Medical Evidence form date is one year earlier than the first CROWNWeb/SIMS event date, and if the first claim date or first transplant date agrees with the first CROWNWeb/SIMS event date, then the CROWNWeb/SIMS first event date is used as the first service date.

**Death Date Determination**

After the ESRD first service date, the date of death is the most critical piece of information in the ESRD database. Death dates are obtained from several sources, including the CMS Medicare Enrollment Database, CMS forms 2746 and 2728, OPTN transplant follow-up form, CROWNWeb database, and Inpatient Claims. Because multiple sources report death information for the same patient, one patient may have several reported dates. For these patients, the death date is based on the hierarchy order below, with lower numbers having a higher priority:

- CMS 2746 Death Notification form
- CMS Enrollment Database
- CROWNWeb Events
- OPTN Transplant data
- CMS 2728 Medical Evidence form
- CMS Institutional Claims
- CMS Patient List

**Transplant Dates**

The CMS and OPTN Transplant data files overlap for 1988-1993, and transplants are also identified from Medical Evidence forms that indicate transplant as the initial modality, from CROWNWeb transplant events, and from institutional inpatient claims. To resolve any conflicts among these three sources, the USRDS has adopted the following procedure:

- Before 1988, all transplant events found in CMS PMMIS/REBUS/REMIS Transplant Files are used.
- After 1994, all transplant events found in OPTN Files are used.
- Between 1988 and 1993, all transplant events found in OPTN Files are used; additional transplant events from the CMS PMMIS/REBUS/REMIS Transplant Files are used only if they occur at least 30 days on either side of a previously accepted transplant event.
- Additionally, transplant events associated with reported incident transplant patients from the Medical Evidence Report are used if they occur at least 30 days before or after a previously accepted transplant event. Transplant events found in CMS Inpatient claims records are also included as transplants found in the CROWNWeb patient events data.

Each transplant event found in the Transplant File of the USRDS Core SAF dataset is thus a unique event derived from the OPTN database, the CMS Transplant database, Medical Evidence Report records, CROWNWeb patient events, or Institutional Claims Files.

**Graft Failure**

The USRDS Coordinating Center assumes that a graft failure date reported in the OPTN transplant follow-up file or the REMIS identification file is correct unless death or a new transplant occurs before this date. However,
a graft failure date may be unrecorded in both files. In this case, the USRDS Coordinating Center derives the graft failure date from the following sources:

- Date of death
- Date of subsequent transplant
- Date of return to regular dialysis, indicated by a continuous period of dialysis billing records covering a minimum of 60 days with at least 22 reported dialysis treatments
- Date of return to dialysis reported on the Medical Evidence Report, or the date of graft nephrectomy from the OPTN transplant follow-up record or a Medicare claim
- If no failure date is available, then the earliest one of the above dates is used as the graft failure date

**MEDICARE AND NON-MEDICARE PATIENTS**

Beneficiaries are enrolled in Medicare based on criteria defined in Title XVIII of the Social Security Act of 1965 and subsequent amendments to the Act. A person in one of these four categories is eligible to apply for Medicare entitlement:

- Aged 65 years and older
- Disabled
- Enrolled in the ESRD program
- Railroad Retirement Program beneficiary

Most ESRD patients are eligible to apply for Medicare as their primary insurance payer. Some, however, are not immediately eligible for Medicare primary payer coverage because of their employment status and pre-existing primary insurance payers. These patients are covered by payers such as Employer Group Health Plans (EGHPs), the Department of Veteran Affairs, and private insurers. Typically, they wait 30-33 months before becoming eligible for Medicare as primary payer and are not included in the Medicare EDB database during the waiting period. Many of these patients, particularly those who are new since 1995, have FSDs established by Medical Evidence Reports even though they have no dialysis claims or hospitalization events in the CMS claims database.

Treatment history events can be tracked by the CROWNWeb Patient Events File, which follows changes in modality, transplants, transfers between facilities, and death. In the REMIS database, all non-Medicare ESRD patients are designated “ZZ” in the two-character Beneficiary Identification Code field. All ESRD patients, regardless of their Medicare eligibility status, are in the CROWNWeb system.

The USRDS recognizes that these non-Medicare (“ZZ”) patients are ESRD patients and should, therefore, be included in patient counts for incidence, prevalence, and treatment modality, as well as mortality and transplant rate calculations. We recommend that calculations of hospitalization statistics or outcomes derived from Medicare claims (including outpatient) not include these patients because of the small number of claims available in the first 30 to 33 months after their first ESRD service (for more information, see Section 4: Payer History). Refer to the syntax for creating a Medicare primary cohort in Example 9: Create a Patient Cohort of 2008 Medicare Primary Incident Patients as a guide.

**SECTION 3: TREATMENT HISTORY**

The USRDS uses all available data to create a treatment history for all ESRD patients in the database, including all modality events, their duration, and the renal providers involved in each patient’s care. This history can be used to identify the incident and prevalent cohorts and determines the censoring points and outcomes for
observational studies. The CROWNWeb event database is the primary source of the modality sequence file, and the dialysis claims are used as a way of confirming placements and identifying problem cases. As described in the previous section, we use all available sources to determine first service dates, deaths, transplants, and transplant failures.

**TREATMENT MODALITY CATEGORIES**

Table 5 lists modality categories used by the USRDS. They can be described as detailed or general. For most analyses, the general categories, which combine detailed modality categories, are sufficient. The Recovered Renal Function (RRF) modality/event is similar to the lost-to-follow-up event in that the patients with an RRF event are not included in the prevalent populations for outcomes analyses. However, as with lost-to-follow-up events, these patients remain in the modality sequence so that subsequent renal episodes can be closely and promptly tracked.

**Table 5. Treatment modality categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Detailed</th>
<th>General</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Center hemodialysis (HD)</td>
<td>HD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Center Self HD</td>
<td>HD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Home HD</td>
<td>HD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HD training</td>
<td>HD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (CAPD)</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CAPD training</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Continuous Cycling Peritoneal Dialysis (CCPD)</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CCPD training</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Other PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Uncertain dialysis</td>
<td>Unknown Dialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Discontinued dialysis</td>
<td>Discontinued Dialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Functioning transplant</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Lost-to-follow-up</td>
<td>Lost-to-follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Recovered function</td>
<td>Recovered Function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**How Treatment Modality is Determined**

The Treatment History Files in the USRDS Core SAF dataset record the sequence of modalities for each patient. The variables included in these files are presented in Table 6. Each record in the file indicates a period of therapy with a given modality and any change in provider or detailed modality results in a new record. Several data sources are used to determine the treatment history, including the Medical Evidence file, CROWNWeb Event file, OPTN Transplant Events File, Medicare Claims Files, and Death Notification form (CMS 2746).

### Table 6. Treatment history SAF variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File name</th>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Variable label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RXHIST (Detailed Treatment History)</td>
<td>USRDS_ID</td>
<td>USRDS patient identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEGDATE</td>
<td>Beginning date of a modality period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENDDATE</td>
<td>Ending date of a modality period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEGDAY</td>
<td>Start day of modality period (First Service Date = 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENDDAY</td>
<td>End day of modality period (First Service Date = 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RXDETAIL</td>
<td>Detailed treatment modality for a period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RXGROUP</td>
<td>Grouped treatment modality for a period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROVUSRD</td>
<td>USRDS assigned facility identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEATH</td>
<td>Death: 1 if enddate=deathdate; 0 if alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RXHIST60 (Condensed Treatment History)</td>
<td>USRDS_ID</td>
<td>USRDS patient identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEGDATE</td>
<td>Beginning date of a modality period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENDDATE</td>
<td>Ending date of a modality period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEGDAY</td>
<td>Start day of modality period (First service date = 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENDDAY</td>
<td>End day of modality period (First service date = 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RXGROUP</td>
<td>Grouped treatment modality for a period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEATH</td>
<td>Death: 1 if enddate=deathdate; 0 if alive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In constructing this Treatment History File (RXHIST), these conventions are followed:

- The sequence always begins with the first ESRD service date and ends with the earliest of date-of-death or end of the period for which the data are complete. Other categories always have an end date. If the patient is still alive, the end date of last period is assigned a study end date “6/30/2017”.
- If two dialysis billing periods overlap, the earlier is terminated at the start of the later period.
- A functioning transplant is considered treatment, not recovery from ESRD.
- If a dialysis billing period overlaps a transplant date, the dialysis period is closed at the transplant date.
- If a graft failure is recorded in the database, but there are no subsequent records of dialysis, or death, or death or another transplant, a period of “unknown dialysis” is inserted. If no dialysis billing records or re-transplant appears within one year, the patient is designated as lost-to-follow-up at the end of a one-year period, and this status continues until dialysis or new transplant records appear.
- Once established, a modality is assumed to continue until a change in provider or detailed modality occurs. For dialysis patients, if no new dialysis billing data appear for one year, the patient is reclassified as lost-to-follow-up at the end of that one-year period.
- A functioning graft is assumed to continue until an indication of graft failure or death appears, or evidence of regular or maintenance dialysis.

**The 60-Day Collapsing Rule**

The USRDS Coordinating Center uses the convention that a dialysis modality must continue for at least 60 days to be considered stable. A transplant is considered a stable modality regardless of duration.

Because the dialysis treatment history is derived from the CROWNWeb Events File, the Medical Evidence Report, OPTN Transplant Events, and Medicare billing records, it includes intermixed and overlapping details that are not required or are unsuitable for most analyses. A long period of CAPD, for example, may be interrupted by a short period of inpatient hemodialysis treatment, or a patient may go on vacation and receive dialysis from a different provider. By applying the 60-day rule, we collapse modality periods of less than 60 days and periods that differ only by the provider, giving a less complex treatment history for analyses that require less detail than is available in the detailed treatment history. For maximum flexibility, we provide two Treatment History Files, one with full detail (RXHIST), and one applying the 60-day collapsing rule (RXHIST60). Tables 7 and 8 show examples of how these two files differ. The 60-day file is constructed from the detailed file as follows:

- Consecutive records with the same dialysis modality group are collapsed into a single record regardless of the length of the period, detailed dialysis modalities as well as changes in the provider. Thus the collapsed file cannot be used to track the changes of provider and detailed dialysis modalities within the same general dialysis modality group.
- For events with a duration period of less than 60 days, the dialysis modality periods are labeled ‘uncertain dialysis’ and consecutive dialysis records labeled ‘uncertain dialysis’ are combined. This rule does not apply to functioning transplant and other non-dialysis modalities.
- If a dialysis modality is interrupted by a different dialysis modality that lasts less than 60 days (e.g., a period of peritoneal dialysis between two longer periods of hemodialysis), the short dialysis modality period is ignored, and the longer dialysis modality extends over the entire period. This rule is not applied to functioning transplant and other non-dialysis modalities.
### Table 7. RXHIST examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USRDS_ID</th>
<th>BEGDATE</th>
<th>ENDDATE</th>
<th>PROVUSRD</th>
<th>DEATH</th>
<th>RXDETAIL</th>
<th>RXGROUP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2/10/1992</td>
<td>6/1/1992</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6/2/1992</td>
<td>1/16/1994</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2/7/1974</td>
<td>6/12/1974</td>
<td>1355</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CAPD Training</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/13/1974</td>
<td>3/30/2008</td>
<td>1355</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
<td>Transplant a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3/31/2008</td>
<td>4/21/2008</td>
<td>7635</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
<td>CAPD b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4/22/2008</td>
<td>9/5/2008</td>
<td>7187</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9/6/2008</td>
<td>10/5/2008</td>
<td>5130</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3/20/1981</td>
<td>4/12/1981</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6/5/1981</td>
<td>7/5/1981</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
<td>Transplant a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7/6/1981</td>
<td>10/1/1981</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10/2/1981</td>
<td>5/7/1982</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5/8/1982</td>
<td>9/7/1982</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9/12/1983</td>
<td>9/30/1983</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CAPD Training</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10/1/1983</td>
<td>12/31/1983</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1/1/1984</td>
<td>6/19/1984</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6/20/1984</td>
<td>7/17/1984</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
<td>Transplant a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7/18/1984</td>
<td>9/30/1984</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10/1/1984</td>
<td>1/31/1985</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2/1/1985</td>
<td>3/10/1985</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The 60-day rule does not apply to transplants. b CAPD record less than 60 days in RHIST, collapsed down with next dialysis modality in RXHIST60. c Hemodialysis record less than 60 days in RXHIST. It is the patient’s last record, with previous event “Transplant,” so it cannot be collapsed down or up with the previous or next event. Recode to “Uncertain Dialysis” in RXHIST60.

### Table 8. RXHIST60 examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USRDS_ID</th>
<th>BEGDATE</th>
<th>ENDDATE</th>
<th>DEATH</th>
<th>RXGROUP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2/10/1992</td>
<td>6/1/1992</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6/2/1992</td>
<td>1/16/1994</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2/7/1974</td>
<td>6/12/1974</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/13/1974</td>
<td>3/30/2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Transplant a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3/20/1981</td>
<td>4/12/1981</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6/5/1981</td>
<td>7/5/1981</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Transplant a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7/6/1981</td>
<td>9/7/1982</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9/8/1982</td>
<td>4/8/1998</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Transplant a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9/12/1983</td>
<td>9/30/1984</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6/20/1984</td>
<td>7/17/1984</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Transplant a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7/18/1984</td>
<td>3/10/1985</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAPD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTEGRATION OF THE CROWNWeb AND CMS CLAIMS DATABASES

For patients who either do not appear in the CROWNWeb Events File or for whom the only event is “New ESRD Patient,” and patients who have transfer-out gaps, the Medicare dialysis claims file is used. For “Transfer Out” and “Transfer Out for a Transplant” events with large gaps (seven days or more), claims falling in gaps are included. An exception is that no claims data are included if the “Transfer Out for a Transplant” event has a corresponding transplant/transplant failure event that occurred within (before or after) 30 days. Claims data are also included for the periods after “Transplant Failure” events and “Discontinued Dialysis” modality if the periods are longer than seven days.

Because the claims data capture the modality “Center Self Hemodialysis” more accurately than the CROWNWeb data, this claims-based designation overrides other dialysis modalities from CROWNWeb. Any CROWNWeb dialysis event that falls into a “Center Self Hemodialysis” period as determined by claims is recoded as “Center Self Hemodialysis.”

Events that are implausible are removed. These include events that occur before a patient’s first service date, those falling between “Transplant” and “Transplant Failure,” “Transfer Out for A Transplant” events that occur 60 days or less after the corresponding “Transplant,” and events occurring after “Death.”

Determining Lost-to-Follow-up Periods

Gaps frequently exist in the CROWNWeb and billing data upon which modality periods are based. The USRDS assumes that a modality continues until death or the next modality-determining event. A patient with a functioning transplant is assumed to maintain it unless a new CROWNWeb event, claim event, or death date is encountered in the data. A dialysis modality, in contrast, is assumed to continue for only 365 days from the date of the last claim, in the absence of a death date or dialysis claims. After this period, the patient is declared lost-to-follow-up, until the occurrence of a new CROWNWeb event, dialysis claim, or transplant event.

Patients are considered lost-to-follow-up beginning 365 days after a “Transplant Failure” event or “Discontinued Dialysis” modality. Patients for whom the only event is a first service date, and who do not exist in any other files were also treated as lost-to-follow-up, beginning one year after the first service date. Some events can result in a lack of dialysis data and eventual reclassification of a patient as lost-to-follow-up:

- The patient may have recovered renal function (RRF), and many no longer have ESRD.
- The patient may no longer reside in the U.S.
- The patient’s death may not have been reported to the Social Security Administration or CMS.

SECTION 4: PAYER HISTORY

ESRD PAYER SEQUENCE FILE

The ESRD Payer History File is similar to the Treatment History File. CMS payer information is used to create a continuous sequential history of payers for each patient in the ESRD database, beginning with the first ESRD service date (FSD). Each patient’s FSD in the Payer History File is the same date reported in the Treatment History File. Data from the Medicare Enrollment Database and dialysis claims information are used to categorize payer status as Medicare primary payer (MPP), MSP, or non-Medicare. The claims database contains data only for MPP and MSP patients, so claims-based analyses, such as analyses of cost and hospitalization, will not include non-Medicare patients. Non-Medicare patients, therefore, must be identified and excluded when determining numbers of patients or patient years at risk for analyses of cost per patient or hospitalization rates. Also, as it is impossible to determine the complete hospitalization history or complete cost of care for ESRD patients with MSP coverage, such analyses should also exclude patients during the periods when they have this
coverage. The Payer History file can be used to make these exclusions. In the Payer History File, the Medicare primary payer (MPP) includes PAYER = “MPAB” (Medicare Primary Part A and Part B) and “MPO” (Medicare Primary Other).

**PRE-ESRD PAYER SEQUENCE FILE**

The Pre-ESRD Payer Sequence File can be used to determine payer status for the periods before first ESRD service date. This Payer Sequence File is similar to the standard ESRD Payer Service File except that the Pre-ESRD Payer Sequence File begins at the first evidence of Medicare enrollment from the Enrollment Database, rather than first ESRD service date, as is the case with the ESRD payer sequence. The pre-ESRD payer sequence ends the day before the first ESRD service date.

**DATA SOURCES**

The payer for any given period is determined by evaluating several data sources. The Medicare EDB is used to determine Part A, Part B, Group Health Organization, MSP Primary Payer, Third Party Part A, and Third Party Part B payers. The CMS claims billing files provide dates of regular maintenance dialysis, which are used as an indicator of Medicare as the primary payer. The PATIENTS file in the USRDS Core SAF dataset contains dates of death, which is used to help establish the end point of the payer sequence.

**PAYER CATEGORIES**

Table 9 shows the payer categories used by the USRDS and indicates whether a patient is considered a Medicare patient (yes or no) and whether the patient has dual Medicare/Medicaid eligibility for that payer period (yes or no).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medicare code</th>
<th>Medicare/Medicaid description</th>
<th>Medicare patient</th>
<th>Medicare/Medicaid dual eligibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPAB</td>
<td>Medicare Primary, Part A and Part B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO</td>
<td>Medicare Primary, Other</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP-EGHP</td>
<td>Medicare as Secondary Payer with EGHP</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP-nonEGHP</td>
<td>Medicare as Secondary Payer with no EGHP</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMO</td>
<td>Group Health Org</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIT</td>
<td>90 Day Waiting Period</td>
<td>Y/N</td>
<td>Y/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTH</td>
<td>Other/Unknown</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How the Payer Category is Determined

The Payer History File in the USRDS Core SAF dataset records the sequence of payers for each patient. Table 10 shows the variables included in this file. Each record in the file represents a period covered by a particular payer. Any change in payer, Medicare status, or dual eligibility status results in a new record. Note that the “WAIT” status can be either Medicare or non-Medicare, depending on the Medicare status of the sequence following the “WAIT” period.

Table 10. Payer History SAF variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable label</th>
<th>Variable description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USRDS_ID</td>
<td>USRDS Patient Identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEGDATE</td>
<td>Beginning date of a payer period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDDATE</td>
<td>Ending date of a payer period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAYER</td>
<td>Payer for the period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCARE</td>
<td>Payer includes Medicare (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUALELIG</td>
<td>Payer includes Medicare and Medicaid (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because several data sources are used to determine payer history, more than one payer may be reported for any given period. In constructing the history, the following conventions are followed:

The sequence begins with the first ESRD service date. If the patient has died, the end date for the last patient record is the date of death. If the patient has not died, the end date is missing from the patient’s last record.

The expected ESRD entitlement date is defined as the remainder of the month of incidence, plus two full calendar months. If the payer on the first service date is unknown, the patient is assumed to be in the waiting period before entitlement, and the first payer is assigned to the value “WAIT”. The “WAIT” period lasts until the expected entitlement date, or until another payer is identified. The Medicare status of the “WAIT” period is assumed to be the same as the Medicare status of the following period.

- If the reported end date for a payer is missing in the data source, the payer is assumed to continue sharing responsibility through the date of death or through the period of available payer information, whichever comes first.
- If more than one payer is identified for a period, precedence for assigning the payer for the sequence is as follows: HMO, Medicare Primary if indicated by the claims billing file, MSP, then Medicare Primary if indicated by the Enrollment Database.
- If payer and dual eligibility status are the same in consecutive payer sequences, the sequences are collapsed into one period, starting with the beginning date of the first and ending with the end date of the last.
- Unlike the treatment history file, the Payer History File does not require a payer to continue for any specific period to be considered stable, and all changes in payer are reported. At their discretion, users may apply additional rules to manage the payer history sequence.
**GAPS IN THE PAYER HISTORY**

Some patient payer histories include gaps with Other/Unknown as payer between sequences with identified payers. This might occur for several reasons, similar to the explanations for gaps in the treatment history:

- Some patients recover enough renal function to discontinue dialysis, and the USRDS database may contain no payer information for non-dialysis time periods.
- Payer information for successful transplant patients may not be reported in the data sources because Medicare eligibility terminated after three years with a functioning graft.
- A patient may leave the country and become lost-to-follow-up.
- A patient may die, and the death data may not reach the USRDS.
- CMS may not have collected payer information.
- Reporting, data entry, and clerical errors may obscure the record of FSD and the corresponding payer.
- Errors in patient identification may cause data for a single patient to be split between two patients or to be associated with the wrong patient.

If a patient is alive, but the last reported payer in the data sources ends on a date before the reported data extraction date, an additional payer sequence is created with the Other/Unknown payer to extend the patient’s payer history. Thus, only patients who have died have end dates in their last payer sequences, and the last end date is the date of death.

Some patients have no identified payers. Their payer histories are represented in the file as one payer sequence, starting at first ESRD service date, with missing end date and Other/Unknown payer.

**EXAMPLE OF USING PAYER HISTORY FILE**

One example of using payer history file is SAS Example 13. Transplant patients with functioning grafts would lose Medicare benefits after three years if ESRD was the sole qualification for Medicare eligibility. We want to see how much the data reflect this rule in this example. The example shows how payer history file and treatment history files are used to investigate the payer status change for 2015 prevalent transplant patients after transplant. Patients with age 65 and above automatically enrolled in Medicare so this factor is included in the analysis.

**SECTION 5: MEDICARE CLAIMS**

**INTRODUCTION**

The USRDS Coordinating Center has created files from CMS billing data and incorporated them into the USRDS database. These files include claims for some patients who are not included in the SAF.PATIENTS file and claims for some patients before the start of ESRD. These cases can be identified and handled by merging the claims files with SAF.PATIENTS, as discussed in Section 5: Patients and Time Periods Included.

**CMS DATA SOURCES**

Medicare claims are of two types: Physician/Supplier Claims for all of Medicare Part B, and Institutional Claims primarily for Part A. Some Part B claims, however, are Institutional Claims, notably those for outpatient dialysis. The structure and content of the two types of claims are different, as are the files derived from them.

The Institutional Claims Files are obtained from the CMS Standard Analytical Files (SAFs), and the Physician/Supplier data are from the 100% National Claims History Nearline File. For ESRD claims data before 2012 and pre-ESRD claims data before 2010, information on outpatient dialysis and hospital inpatient stays not
included in the CMS SAFs are obtained from PMMIS/REBUS/REMIS. Together, these sources provide data on all types of Medicare bills. The following CMS SAFs are used:

- Inpatient
- Outpatient
- Skilled Nursing Facility
- Home Health Agency
- Hospice

For Institutional and Physician/Supplier Claims Files, data for a given year is frozen at the end of June of the subsequent year, so claims submitted after June of the year following the year of service are not included. All data are resolved to final bills, with duplicates and correction transactions resolved into a single final bill for the service in question.

For ESRD claims data before 2012 and pre-ESRD claims data before 2010, the PMMIS/REBUS/REMIS system provides an alternate source of data on hospital inpatient stays and outpatient dialysis, but it includes no charge or payment data. The inpatient data include diagnosis and procedure codes, and outpatient data include summaries of dialysis claims by calendar quarter and provider. This is the only source for data from before 1989, the year in which the CMS SAFs start. Starting with 1991, data from PMMIS/REBUS/REMIS were used only when a matching hospital stay or dialysis record was not in the CMS SAFs. PMMIS/REBUS/REMIS data are distinguished by the value of the HCFASAF variable (“M” from REBUS Inpatient Stay or “Q” from Quarterly Dialysis Records). SAF data were given preference because of their greater detail and are now the only source for ESRD claims. ESRD claims data from 2012 and pre-ESRD claims data from 2010 no longer include data from REMIS summarized files.

CMS Institutional SAFs (Part A) and Physician/Supplier and DME (Part B) claims are available on a quarterly basis and are finalized and closed for a particular service year 18 months following the start of that service year. For example, the CMS 2014 Claims SAFs are finalized and closed in June 2015. This means that for a claim incurred on January 1, 2014, this system allows for an 18-month window for processing, while claims incurred in December 2014 have a 6-month window for processing to complete.

The service dates of the claims correspond to the actual dates of service and should be used to determine inclusion in analyses. The calendar year of the SAFs should not be used to determine inclusion in analyses. All claims included in a given year’s file have claim end dates that correspond to that claim year. A small number of claims included in a given year’s file may have claim start dates that do not correspond to that claim year.

**Patients and Time Periods Included**

The claims database contains data only for Medicare as Primary Payer (MPP) and Medicare as Secondary Payer (MSP) patients, so claims-based analyses, such as analyses of cost and hospitalization, will not include non-Medicare patients. Patient payer history can be found in the SAF Payer History File. Payer History File MPP includes PAYER = “MPAB” (Medicare Primary Part A and Part B) and “MPO” (Medicare Primary Other). Also refer to Section 4: Payer History. Non-Medicare patients, therefore, must be identified and excluded when determining numbers of patients or patient years at risk for analyses of cost per patient or hospitalization rates. Also, as it is impossible to determine the complete hospitalization history or complete cost of care for ESRD patients with MSP coverage; such analyses should also exclude patients during the periods when they have this coverage. The Payer History File can be used to make these exclusions (see syntax on creating a Medicare primary cohort in Example 9: Create a Patient Cohort of 2008 Medicare Primary Incident Patients as a guide.)
The Medicare Claims Files contain data for some patients not included in the SAF.PATIENTS file. When the USRDS database is updated, all claims for all patients who show an indication of having ESRD are retrieved from the CMS database. Some patients are then filtered out and are not included in SAF.PATIENTS or the USRDS analyses. This procedure allows the USRDS Coordinating Center itself to exclude data, rather than request them anew from CMS should they be needed later. Patients may be filtered out because of problems with the data, such as when two patients have the same Medicare ID or Social Security number, or a patient’s listed birth date comes after the death date. In other cases, too little information is available to establish the presence of ESRD or a date of first ESRD service. Sometimes a person filtered out in one year passes the filters the next year because data problems have been resolved or new data confirm that the patient has ESRD. Researchers must decide whether to include the claims for these patients in their analyses. The claims can be excluded by merging the claims file with SAF.PATIENTS by USRDS_ID and selecting only patients who appear in SAF.PATIENTS.

The USRDS database also includes pre-ESRD claims for patients who were entitled to Medicare due to age or disability before they developed ESRD. Because these data are not available for all patients, and because it is likely that patients entitled to Medicare before ESRD are systematically different from those not entitled, analyses of these data must be designed with care.

It is important to note that some overlap exists between the pre-ESRD claims datasets before 2010 and the ESRD claims datasets before 2012, as both claims sets include complete claims information for the calendar year of incidence. Care must be taken to avoid double counting of claims during this time frame. Our recommendation is to search the pre-ESRD claims up to, but not including, first ESRD service date, and the ESRD claims starting at the first ESRD service date. To obtain claims from the ESRD period only, merge the claims file with PATIENTS to identify the first service date (FIRST_SE), and select only those claims occurring on or after this date. It is up to researchers to determine how or whether to include claims that straddle the first service date.

ESRD files from claim service year 2012 and after contain only ESRD claims. 2012 ESRD files created as part of 2014 SAF files are based on criteria of CLM_FROM >= FIRST_SE, 2013 and on ESRD files created as part of 2015 and subsequent year SAF files are based on criteria of CLM_THRU >= FIRST_SE.

Pre-ESRD Files from claim service year 2010 and after contain only pre-ESRD claims. 2010, 2011, and 2012 pre-ESRD files created as part of 2014 SAF files are based on criteria of CLM_FROM < FIRST_SE. Pre-ESRD files created as part of subsequent year SAF files are based on criteria of CLM_THRU < FIRST_SE. Pre-ESRD Files are usually included in the analysis based on the incident patient incident cohort. To make the pre-ESRD files more convenient for researchers, the data structure was changed in 2015 SAF by grouping the claims by incident patient cohort. Due to this change, 2011, 2012 pre-ESRD claims created in 2014 SAF are no longer needed. The section Pre-ESRD Claims File Structure describes which files are appropriate for Pre-ESRD claims for patients incident before 2011, in 2011 or later.

**FILE STRUCTURE**

**ESRD Claims File Structure**

The ESRD claims are organized by claim service year. The records in a particular claim service year file have a claim through service date within that claim service year. For example, in PS2004 (Physician/Supplier records incurred in claim service year 2004) all of the records have a claim through date within the calendar year 2004.

**ESRD Institutional Claims File Structure**

Institutional Claims are for hospital inpatient stays hospital outpatient services, and care provided at dialysis facilities, skilled nursing facilities, home health agencies, and hospices. Institutional Claims are submitted via
Part A claims forms, which have a large header portion followed by variable length trailers. Possible trailer fields include diagnoses, procedures, and revenue centers. The header portion is in the “Institutional Claims” File; the trailer fields are in the “Claims Details” File. Starting with the calendar year 2008, “Claims Details” are separated into a “Claims Details” File and a “Revenue Center Details” File; the “Claims Details” File contains diagnostic and procedural codes, and the “Revenue Center Details” contains revenue center details.

Revenue Center Details Added Since the 2010 SAFs (2008 claims)

Effective January 1, 2008, CMS required an important change in billing requirements for ESRD facilities. This change phased in over the entire 2007 calendar year had a substantial effect on the content of the Institutional Claims Files that the USRDS receives from CMS for ESRD patients. To accommodate these adjustments, the USRDS Institutional files for years before 2008 did not change, but did for the calendar years 2008 and later.

In the past, ESRD facilities were able to bill an entire month of each separately billable service as a summary single line item (e.g., costs for dialysis, erythropoietin (EPO), and IV Iron were all included as one billable charge). The CMS mandated billing changes essentially now require ESRD facilities to report each separately billable service as a separate revenue center line item with individual dates of service. A typical month of dialysis now requires 13 separate line items for each session, as opposed to one line item in previous years, 13 separate line items for EPO administrations, and so forth. The practical result of this change was an enormous increase in revenue center detail records, from 76 million in 2006 to over 175 million in 2008. Meanwhile, some other record details showed the usual yearly increases—from 39 million to 43 million over the same period.

The increased detail in ESRD billing may be useful for studying patterns of utilization, so the USRDS will make these additional revenue line items available to researchers. The Revenue Center Details will no longer be included in the Institutional Claims Details File, but rather in a separate Revenue Center Details SAF. CMS also altered the method for ESRD facilities reporting of EPO administration and dosage. These changes are described in the EPO Variables section.

Claims details can be linked back to the original claims. Complete listings of the variables in the Institutional Claims, Claims Details, and Revenue Center Details Files are available in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions. Some variables are also described in Section 5: Contents and Variables. The claims are uniquely identified by a compound key consisting of four variables (USRDS_ID, CLM_FROM, HCFASAF, and SEQ_KEYC), which are used to uniquely link claims records among the Institutional Claims, Claims Details, and Revenue Details Files. The records in all Institutional Files are sorted and indexed by this compound key. The derivation of the dialysis and EPO variables on the Institutional Claims record is described below in Section 5: Contents and Variables.

Data Structure Before the 2015 SAFs (Prior to 2013 claims)

In 2012 and prior years' files, each of “Claims” (INC in file name), “Claims Details” (DET in file name) and “Revenue Details” (REV in file name, since 2008 claims) consisted of six types of claims, and these six types of claims can be distinguished by the HCFASAF variable, as indicated in Table 11. There are some observations in claims before 2012 with HCFASAF = "M" or HCFASAF = “Q" from PMMIS/REBUS/REMIS. These two categories are not included in Table 11 due to the small number of observations.
Table 11. Claims types in the HCFASAF variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>HCFASAF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home Health Agency</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled Nursing Facility</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Structure in the 2015 and subsequent year SAFs (2013 and later claims)

In 2013 Institutional Claims data, three types of files were created for five types of institutional claims services. The three types of files are: “Claims” file (CLM in file name), “Claims Details,” or multiple trailers for diagnoses and procedure details (DXP in file name, previously DET) files, and “Revenue Details,” or multiple trailers for revenue center details (DET in file name, previously REV). The five types of institutional claims services are Outpatient (OP in the file name), Inpatient (IP), Home Health Agency (HH), Hospice (HS) and Skilled Nursing Facility (SN in the file name). Therefore, instead of only three ESRD Claims files, 2013 and subsequent year ESRD Claims SAFs include 15 different files.

Table 12 shows the relationship of institutional claims datasets from 2013 with files from 2012 and prior years. In 2012 and prior years’ files dialysis claims were identified with HCFASAF = “D”. In 2013 and later claims datasets dialysis claims in individual files are instead labelled as HCFASAF—“H” (Home Health), “S” (Hospice), “I” (Inpatient), “O” (Outpatient) or “N” (Skilled Nursing Facility)—depending on which type of file the claims originate from.

Dialysis claims from institutional claims appear both in individual claims files and grouped as Medicare Claim Clinical data. This includes the revenue center details, diagnoses, and procedures on each claim that specifically indicate dialysis. Claim Clinical data are extracted from Institutional Claims SAF Files (Outpatient, Inpatient, Home Health Agency, Skilled Nursing Facility, and Hospice). The diagnoses can be identified by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) diagnosis codes, and the procedures can be identified by the ICD procedure codes and Current Procedural Terminology, 4th Edition [CPT-4] codes. CPT-4 codes only appear under the Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes in the Revenue Center Details Files (see more about HCPCS in the section titled Institutional Claims Details and Revenue Center Details). Billing details were summarized and merged back with the claim-level file – one record per claim in the Medicare Claims Clinical data. Medicare Claim Clinical data are available for the claim year 2011 and on. Each year contains approximately four million records at the month/year claim level. The variables included in the Medicare Claims Clinical data are listed in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions.
# Table 12. Relationship of institutional claims datasets from 2013 with 2012 and prior years’ claims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim level file</th>
<th>Institutional Claims dataset structure (prior to 2012 files, yyyy is year)</th>
<th>Institutional Claims dataset structure (2012)</th>
<th>Institutional Claims dataset structure (2013 and on files)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in one file incyyyy</td>
<td>in one file inc2012</td>
<td>in separate files below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;H&quot; (home health)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;H&quot; (home health)</td>
<td>hh_clm_yyyy (home health)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;S&quot; (hospice)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;S&quot; (hospice)</td>
<td>hs_clm_yyyy (hospice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;I&quot; (inpatient)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;I&quot; (inpatient)</td>
<td>ip_clm_yyyy (inpatient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;O&quot; (outpatient)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;O&quot; (outpatient)</td>
<td>op_clm_yyyy (outpatient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;N&quot; (skilled nursing facility)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;N&quot; (skilled nursing facility)</td>
<td>claim_clinical_yyyy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;D&quot; (dialysis)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;D&quot; (dialysis)</td>
<td>sn_clm_yyyy (skilled nursing facility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;M&quot; (REBUS Inpatient Stay)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;P&quot; (Quarterly Dialysis Records)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in separate files below:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hh_dxp_yyyy (home health)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hs_dxp_yyyy (hospice)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ip_dxp_yyyy (inpatient)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>op_dxp_yyyy (outpatient)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sn_dxp_yyyy (skilled nursing facility)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in one file detyyyy</td>
<td>in one file det2012</td>
<td>in separate files below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;H&quot; (home health)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;H&quot; (home health)</td>
<td>hh_dxp_yyyy (home health)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;S&quot; (hospice)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;S&quot; (hospice)</td>
<td>hs_dxp_yyyy (hospice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;I&quot; (inpatient)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;I&quot; (inpatient)</td>
<td>ip_dxp_yyyy (inpatient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;O&quot; (outpatient)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;O&quot; (outpatient)</td>
<td>op_dxp_yyyy (outpatient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;N&quot; (skilled nursing facility)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;N&quot; (skilled nursing facility)</td>
<td>claim_clinical_yyyy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;D&quot; (dialysis)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;D&quot; (dialysis)</td>
<td>sn_dxp_yyyy (skilled nursing facility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;M&quot; (REBUS Inpatient Stay)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;P&quot; (Quarterly Dialysis Records)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in one file revyyyy</td>
<td>in one file rev2012</td>
<td>in separate files below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;H&quot; (home health)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;H&quot; (home health)</td>
<td>hh_det_yyyy (home health)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;S&quot; (hospice)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;S&quot; (hospice)</td>
<td>hs_det_yyyy (hospice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;I&quot; (inpatient)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;I&quot; (inpatient)</td>
<td>ip_det_yyyy (inpatient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;O&quot; (outpatient)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;O&quot; (outpatient)</td>
<td>op_det_yyyy (outpatient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;N&quot; (skilled nursing facility)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;N&quot; (skilled nursing facility)</td>
<td>claim_clinical_yyyy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;D&quot; (dialysis)</td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;D&quot; (dialysis)</td>
<td>sn_det_yyyy (skilled nursing facility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;M&quot; (REBUS Inpatient Stay)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hcfasaf=&quot;P&quot; (Quarterly Dialysis Records)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ESRD Physician/Supplier Claims File Structure

Physician/Supplier claims are bills covering physician, laboratory, and radiology services, as well as medical supplies. They account for approximately 80% of the claims but only 20% of the dollars. One diagnostic and one procedural code can occur on each physician/supplier record, which is a line item of the entire claim. One visit to a physician can generate multiple line-item records.

While there are only minor differences in the structure of the data included in the five institutional claims types (Outpatient, Inpatient, Home Health Agency, Skilled Nursing Facility, Hospice), the structure of the Physician/Supplier claims is substantially different from that of the Institutional Claims.

Compared to Institutional Claims, Physician/Supplier claims have a simpler header portion and fewer trailer fields, including the revenue center with a CMS Healthcare Common Procedure Code Standard (HCPCS) procedural code. Unlike the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Edition, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) procedural codes on the Institutional Claims, which primarily record invasive surgical procedures, HCPCS codes record all procedures performed by physicians (e.g., patient histories) and all supplies, ranging from Band-Aids® to dialysis machines.
Before the 2015 SAFs (PS2012 and prior), the related claim-level and line-item data files for each type were merged together and then stacked. Multiple trailer records for diagnosis details were also included (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Physician/Supplier claims file structure (prior to 2013 claim files)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stacked</th>
<th>Merged</th>
<th>Merged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physician/Supplier claims</td>
<td></td>
<td>Physician/Supplier line records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durable Medical Equipment claims</td>
<td></td>
<td>Durable Medical Equipment line records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durable Medical Equipment multiple trailers for diagnosis details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For 2013 and later Physician/Supplier claims, three types of files were created for PS claims (PS in file name) and DME claims (DME): claim-level file (CLM in file name), multiple trailers for line-item details of the claim (LINE), and multiple trailers for diagnoses and procedure details of the claim (DX). Therefore, instead of only one ESRD PS Claims File, beginning with 2013, ESRD PS Claims SAFs include six different files. The relationship of 2013 and on files, with 2012, prior to 2012 PS claims are listed in Table 13.
Table 13. Relationship of 2013 and later datasets with 2012 and prior years’ Physician/Supplier Claims datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>prior to 2012 PS Claims</th>
<th>2012 PS Claims</th>
<th>2013 and on PS Claims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **File structure**   | one file included claim, line and dx information
File name: psyyyy | one file include claim, line and dx information
File name: ps2012 | 6 files with claim type and claim, line, dx separated
File names:
ps_clm_yyyy, ps_line_yyyy, ps_dx_yyyy
dme_clm_yyyy, dme_line_yyyy, dme_dx_yyyy |
| **CLMTYP**           | No variable can be used to distinct Physician/Supplier and Durable Medical Equipment claims | Variable to distinct Physician/Supplier and Durable Medical Equipment claims is added:
CLMTYP="P" (physician/supplier)
CLMTYP="D" (durable medical equipment) | Variable to distinct Physician/Supplier and Durable Medical Equipment claims is kept, can also distinct from file names:
CLMTYP="P" or file name with “ps” (physician/supplier)
CLMTYP="D" or file name with “dme” (durable medical equipment) |
| **CDTYPE**           | CDTYPE="B" (claim level and line level records)
CDTYPE="I" (diagnosis code from dx files) | CDTYPE variable removed. Records with all variables missing except key variables (USRDS_ID, HCFASAF, CLMTYP, CLM_FROM, CLM_THRU, SEQ_KEYC) and DIAG are from dx file and are equivalent to CDTYPE="I" in prior to 2012 PS claims | No CDTYPE variable. Claim, line and dx claims are in separate files |

**Pre-ESRD Claims File Structure**

Pre-ESRD Medicare Institutional Claims and Physician/Supplier Claims are available starting from the 1993 claim service year.

*Obtaining Pre-ESRD Claims for Patients Incident Prior to 2011*

Before the 2015 SAFs, the structure of the Pre-ESRD Claims Files is identical to the ESRD Claims Files. Each pre-ESRD claim service year file contains claims for multiple incident years. For example, the claims labeled 2004 contain the pre-ESRD claims for incidents patient in 2004, 2005 and 2006. The claims are NOT constrained to an exact two-year period. Patients who are treated for ESRD for the first time are known as incident ESRD patients. Patients who are incident for ESRD at the end of a calendar year may have claims extending back for nearly three years before their incident date.
To obtain a patient’s complete pre-ESRD records for the patients incident before 2011, three years Pre-ESRD Claims Files should be used. These are the claim service year file that corresponds to the calendar year of incidence for that patient, the claim service year file that corresponds to the incident calendar year minus 1, and the claim service year file that corresponds to the incident calendar year minus 2. For example, to obtain pre-ESRD Physician/Supplier records for a patient incident in 2006, PS2006, PS2005 and PS2004 should all be used (Figure 6).

**Figure 6. Example of Pre-ESRD Physician/Supplier Record for an incident ESRD patient in 2006 (files created prior to the 2015 SAF have the same structure)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim service year file that corresponds to Incident Year minus 2</th>
<th>Claim service year file that corresponds to Incident Year minus 1</th>
<th>Claim service year file that corresponds to Incident Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CY 2004</td>
<td>CY 2005</td>
<td>CY 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is important to note that Pre-ESRD claims before 2010 include complete claims information for the calendar year of incidence, which means ESRD claims for the calendar year of incidence are also included in the pre-ESRD dataset. To obtain claims for the pre-ESRD period only, merge the pre-ESRD claims dataset with PATIENTS to identify the first service date, and select only those claims occurring before this date. Claim through date (CLM_THRU) is recommended to separate ESRD and pre-ESRD records, to be consistent with pre-ESRD claims files created in and after the 2015 SAFs (see next section, *Obtaining pre-ESRD Claims for Patients Incident in 2011 or Later*).

**Obtaining Pre-ESRD Claims for Patients Incident In 2011 or Later**

In the 2015 and subsequent Pre-ESRD SAF Files, the patient cohort is incident patients for an incident year in each file, and each file contains pre-ESRD records from four years of claims files before the incident year and pre-ESRD records in incident year claim files, which is a total of five years of pre-ESRD records. In the 2015 SAF, files for patients incident in 2011, 2012 and 2013 were created. In years following the 2015 SAF, files for patients incident in SAF year minus two will be created. For example, in the 2016 SAF, files for patients incident in 2014 were created. The Pre-ESRD Files incorporate claims records before the first service date, i.e., with the claim through date (CLM_THRU) less than the first service date. File names ending with “incyyyy” include pre-ESRD records for patients incident in year yyyy; file names starting with “preesrd5y” include five years of pre-ESRD records.

**CONTENTS AND VARIABLES**

**Institutional Claims File**

The Institutional Claims File has one record per claim, with a claim representing a single instance of service, such as a hospital inpatient stay, an outpatient surgery, or a month of dialysis. Dollar values for total charges and payment amounts are stored in the claims file, which also shows the type and number of dialysis sessions included in the claims. Data in the Institutional Claims File allow researchers to determine dialysis treatment modality over time, compute hospitalization rates, and determine aggregate costs by period and type of cost. These data are sufficient for many research studies and most USRDS products. Analyses of particular diagnoses,
procedures, or revenue centers require the claims detail files, which are described in the Institutional Claims Details and Revenue Center Details section. Complete listings of the variables appearing in the Institutional Claims Files are available in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions. Detailed information for some Institutional Claims File variables is provided in the next section.

**Medicare Payment Variables**

CLM_TOT is the total amount billed for the claim, while CLM_AMT is the amount paid by Medicare. For inpatient and skilled nursing facility claims, the cost also includes an amount for the CMS pass-through payments for items such as indirect medical education, capital, and kidney acquisition for transplants. To obtain this pass-through payment amount, multiply the per diem amount (PER_DIEM) by the count of covered days (CVR_DCNT). In addition to these overall amounts, the billed amount for dialysis and EPO are provided by the variables DIALCASH and EPOCASH. From 2015 SAF and on, third-party payer payment PRPAYAMT, and beneficiary payment (co-pays/deductibles) TDEDAMT are added to Institutional claims file.

**Dialysis Variables**

The variable RXCAT indicates the type of dialysis, if any, included in a claim. RXCAT is derived from DIALREVC and DIALCRC, which come from the Revenue Center and Claim Related Condition details, as described below. The UNITS value from the Revenue Center detail that indicates dialysis is used to calculate the number of dialysis sessions. For in-center hemodialysis, UNITS generally indicates a plausible value for the number of dialysis sessions. For other types of dialysis, particularly CAPD and CCPD, this variable may indicate the number of days. An adjustment is made for CAPD and CCPD to calculate “hemodialysis equivalent” sessions for these modalities. DIALSESS is the resulting number of total dialysis sessions. DIALCASH is REV_CH from the Revenue Center details and is the provider’s billed charge rather than the Medicare payment. The Revenue Center and Claim Related Condition details, which indicate dialysis, are not included in the Institutional Claims Details File before 2001. All dialysis revenue line items are included in the Institutional Claims Details File between 2001 and 2007 and are included in the Revenue Center Details File starting with the calendar year 2008.

**EPO Variables**

Summary variables are provided for EPO treatments covered by a claim. EPO treatments are identified by Revenue Center codes “0634” and “0635” on a Revenue Center details claim. For claims before 2008, the variable for the number of EPO administrations (EPOADMIN) is the UNITS variable from the Revenue Center details, while the variable for EPO payments (EPOCASH) is the REV_CH variable. If the claim has multiple Revenue Center details indicating EPO, the EPOADMIN and EPOCASH are summed over these details. The Revenue Center details from which these variables are retained in the Institutional Claims Details SAF starting with CY2001. Before 2008 the variable for the dose of EPO (EPODOSE) either came from a Claim Related Value detail with code “68”, or had to be calculated by dividing the total payments variable (RPRVPMT) by price per unit for HCPCS codes “J0885”, and “J0886”. With the 2012 file, EPODOSE was found in code “Q4081”, which began appearing on bills after 2007. The variable for hematocrit (HCRIT) comes from a Claim Related Value detail with code “48”. The Claim Related Value details from which these variables come are not retained in the Institutional Claims Details SAF.

CMS mandated line-item billing for ESRD facilities effective January 1, 2008. Facilities are now required to report each EPO administration as a separate line item, and to report the EPO dose administered in the UNITS field as a multiple of 100 (e.g., a dose of 5,000 units would be reported as 50 in the UNITS field). Value code “68”, the total EPO dose, is no longer required. EPODOSE is created as a composite variable that checks for both the relevant HCPCS and the CRV details and considers the year in which the bill was incurred to handle properly the UNITS variable. Also starting with the 2008 claims, a summarization of darbepoetin (DPO) claims, identified by HCPCS codes, is also included on the claim.
Institutional Claims Details and Revenue Center Details

The Institutional Claims Details File includes a variety of details about each claim. The records in this file can be linked back to the corresponding claim in the claims file. There may be none, one, or many records for each type of detail for a particular claim:

- ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes
- ICD-9-CM procedure codes
- CMS revenue center codes (line item, for years before 2008)
- CMS claim related condition codes
- CMS claim related value codes

There can be any number of Institutional Details records for each Institutional Claims record. The Claims Details Files are sorted by the same four-part compound key as the Claims files so that this key can be used to link the files. The multi-file structure is a solution to the problem of some important data items that appear none, one, or many times on a given claim.

Hospital inpatient stay claims, for example, always have DRG codes, but other types of institutional claims never do. All claims should have at least one ICD-9-CM diagnosis code but may have up to 26. A hospital inpatient claim probably uses one or more ICD-9-CM surgical procedure codes if the stay involved surgery, but may also have revenue center details that specify procedures using HCPCS and/or revenue center codes, and an outpatient claim is more likely to specify procedures using revenue center codes with HCPCS codes. Using a master with a details file creates a simple structure easily manipulated in SAS. Examples of useful value code details (CDTYPE = “V”) are height and weight, which are required elements for ESA and dialysis claims beginning with the 2007 claim set. Code “A8” indicates that the value of the UNITS variable is patient post-dialysis weight (in kilograms), while code “A9” indicates that the UNITS variable holds the patient height (in centimeters). Before 2012, for CODETYPE = “V”, only the records with CODE = “A8”, “A9”, “37”, and “48” were kept in Institutional Claims Details. From 2012 and on, all records for CODETYPE = “V” are kept in Institutional Claims Details. Complete listings of the variables appearing in the Institutional Claims Details Files are available in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions.

The Revenue Center details are the source of many important variables. The Revenue Center details correspond to the Revenue Center “trailers” on the CMS SAF records. A record “trailer” is a section of the file record that can appear a variable number of times; the number of occurrences is indicated by an additional variable resulting in records that vary in length depending upon the amount of data present. The CMS SAF records have nine types of trailers, making the record structure quite complex. The Revenue Center details (or record trailers) provide data about the breakdown of the total charges into charges from “each cost center for which a separate charge is billed (the type of accommodation or ancillary).” A cost center is a division or unit within a hospital (e.g., radiology, emergency room, pathology). Each Revenue Center detail contains a variable for the amount charged (REV_CH in the Institutional Claims Revenue Center Details File), and one detail, while Revenue Center code “0001”, is the sum of all of the REV_CH for all other Revenue Center details for that claim.

To test the consistency of the Revenue Center Details and the CLM_TOT variable, the Coordinating Center examined the original CMS SAF records for 10,000 inpatient and 10,000 outpatient claims. In all cases, the ‘0001’ Revenue Center amount was the sum of the other Revenue Center amounts. In about 3% of the inpatient records, however, CLM_TOT was greater than this sum.

Beginning in late 2000, CMS began providing a field in the revenue trailers called revenue center payment amount, which corresponds to the payment amount for each revenue center trailer for all outpatient claims. This field is included as an additional variable (REVPMT) in the Institutional Revenue Center Details SAF, starting with the calendar year 2001. This value allows researchers to more accurately determine the payment amount for individual types of Revenue Center services (such as laboratory service, EPO, and dialysis) billed on outpatient
claims. One caveat for using this variable is that the REVPMT summed overall Revenue Center Details for a given claim will not always agree with the CLM_AMT variable contained in the Institutional Claims SAF for that claim. Our analysis showed that the sum of REVPMT for all outpatient claims exceeded the CLM_AMT for all outpatient claims by approximately 3%. The SAS format $REVCEN gives labels for the Revenue Center codes (the CODE variable on records with CDTYPE = “R”). The Revenue Center Details are the source for the dialysis and EPO variables on the Institutional Claims File. Codes “0800-0809” and “0820-0889” indicate the type of dialysis (DIALREVC). UNITS provides the number of dialysis sessions (DIALSESS), and REV_CH provides the dialysis charges (DIALCASH).

DIALCASH should be treated with caution because its use may be inconsistently defined; it is not clear if the value is the charged amount or the CMS allowed charge, and definition of the value may vary from institution to institution. When a claim has only one dialysis Revenue Center code, as is usually the case for years before 2001, a Revenue Center Details record is not produced because the relevant data items are recorded on the Institutional Claims record. If a claim has multiple Revenue Center Details indicating dialysis, the dialysis variables are derived from the first Revenue Center code encountered, giving precedence to the more specific codes. In this case, a detailed record is created for each Revenue Center detail on the claim, so users have the opportunity to interpret the multiple details. Other Institutional Revenue Center details are of lesser interest unless an HCPCS code is included indicating a more specific service. A code showing that a claim is for laboratory services, for example, frequently includes an HCPCS code indicating the specific test performed. Revenue Center Details records are included regardless of the presence or absence of an HCPCS code. Before the calendar year 2008, Revenue Center Details records were included with other Claim Details records, and after 2008 were placed in a separate file. For many analyses, the Revenue Center Details records may not be required. Complete listings of the variables appearing in the Revenue Center Details Files are available in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions. The detailed information for some Revenue Center Details File variables is described in the next section.

**CDTYPE and CODE Variables**

The variable CDTYPE indicates the type of code contained in the CODE variable (e.g., a diagnosis code, a procedure code, a revenue center code). For instance, a code of “I” in the CDTYPE variable indicates that the value of the CODE variable is ICD-9 diagnosis code; a code of “P” in the CDTYPE variable indicates that the value of the CODE variable is an ICD-9 procedure code. Both variables are present on every record, but the variables UNITS, REV_CH, HCPCS, and URR_CD are not present for some CDTYPEs. The SAS format $CDTYPEI indicates the meaning of each CDTYPE.

**UNITS**

Use of the UNITS variable varies with CDTYPE. When CDTYPE = “P” (ICD-9-CM Surgical Procedures), UNITS is a value created by the USRDS to indicate when the surgical procedure was performed, and time is expressed as the number of days from the date given by CLM_FROM, with CLM_FROM counted as 1. A value of 1 for UNITS indicates that the procedure was performed on the date given by CLM_FROM, and 2 indicates the day after CLM_FROM. When CDTYPE = “R”, UNITS is described in the CMS file documentation as “a quantitative measure (unit) of services provided to a beneficiary associated with accommodation and ancillary revenue centers”. From 2008, CDTYPE = “R” records are all in Revenue Center Details File. Depending on the type of service, units are measured by the number of covered days in a particular accommodation, emergency room visits, clinic visits, dialysis treatments (sessions or days), outpatient therapy visits, and outpatient clinical diagnostic laboratory tests. The revenue center code or the HCPCS code indicates the type of service. Because the meaning of UNITS varies greatly, the variable must be used with caution. When using this variable, tabulate the distribution of values over the records being analyzed to ensure that the values look correct. When CDTYPE = “I”, UNITS has a value of 1 or 0,
where 1 indicates that this was the primary diagnosis for this claim and 0 indicates that it was a secondary diagnosis. The claim details are not necessarily sorted with the primary diagnosis first.

**REV_CH**

REV_CH occurs only on Revenue Center details records (CDTYPE = “R”) and indicates “the total charges (covered and non-covered) for all accommodations and services (related to the revenue code) for a billing period before reduction for the deductible and co-insurance amounts and before an adjustment for the cost of services provided.” REV_CH corresponds in concept to the CLM_TOT variable on the Institutional Claims File, as discussed above under Revenue Center Details.

**HCPCS and MOD1-MOD5**

The CMS Common Procedure Coding Standard (HCPCS) “is a collection of codes that represent procedures, supplies, products, and services that may be provided to Medicare beneficiaries and to individuals enrolled in private health insurance programs.” In Institutional Claims Files, the HCPCS code occurs only on Revenue Center (CDTYPE = “R”) details, but may not be present on all such records. HCPCS are an extension of the American Medical Association CPT-4 codes, and CPT-4 codes can only be found in HCPCS in Revenue Center Details Files. Codes for certain pharmaceuticals, laboratory procedures, durable medical equipment, and radiology procedures are added to the CPT-4 codes to form HCPCS. Beginning in the calendar year 2010, the HCPCS code may be accompanied by up to five HCPCS modifier codes (MOD1-MOD5). These modifiers are used to report information related to the services described by the HCPCS code. For example, beginning July 1, 2010, to report absence or presence of access infection on the last dialysis line item of each dialysis bill CMS requires the use of the modifiers V5 (catheter), V6 (graft), and V7 (fistula) to report the type of vascular access in use, and V8 (infection) and V9 (no infection). Another example would be modifiers for IV (JA) and subcutaneous (JB) administration of ESA.

**URR_CD**

Starting in 1998, CMS began requiring the reporting of Urea Reduction Ratios (URRs) on outpatient hemodialysis claims. The URR is reported as a range that reflects the results of the month being billed. This information appeared as a formatted value in the Revenue Center Details for hemodialysis claims in 2012 and prior years' files. In 2013 files, URR_CD is in the “Claims” file.

**Physician/Supplier Claims**

In the Physician/Supplier File, a claim does not necessarily correspond to a logical instance of service but is more likely to represent all services provided to a patient during the provider's billing period. In 2012 and prior years' files, the file is constructed as a line item file, with one record per claim line item. For physician claims, the from/through dates can be used to identify a series of line items associated with a single visit. For supplier claims, however, the instance of service is more difficult to define. Bills for home dialysis dialysate, for example, specify the quantity and delivery date of the dialysate but not the period over which it is to be dispensed. Claims and line records are in separate files in the 2013 and subsequent year files.

**CLMTYP**

The CLMTYP variable, which indicates whether the record comes from physician/supplier claims or durable medical equipment claims, was added to 2012 Physician/Supplier Claims Files. CLMTYP = “P” indicates a physician/supplier claim; CLMTYP = “D” indicates a durable medical equipment claim. Claims may or may not have supporting line item data; notably, payment variables for records with no line item data will be missing.
CLMTYP is kept in 2013 and subsequent year files, even though Physician/Supplier Claims and Durable Medical Equipment Claims are in separate files and can be distinguished by the file names.

**CDTYPE and DIAG**

Prior to 2012 files, claims and line records were merged and then detailed diagnosis codes were stacked to claims/line merged records. Claims and line records have a one-to-many relationship, meaning there is one claims record to one or more line records. CDTYPE = “B” indicates a physician/supplier claims/line merged item and the DIAG variable indicates the diagnosis code associated with this line item. CDTYPE = “I” indicates that this record contains only one diagnosis code; in this case, the diagnosis code is associated with all line items on the claim. Payment variables for these records should be missing.

The 2012 Physician/Supplier file is in the same structure as Physician/Supplier files before 2012 except that CDTYP variable was removed and CLMTYP was added. Records with all variables missing except key variables (USRDS_ID, HCFASAF, CLMTYP, CLM_FROM, CLM_THRU, SEQ_KEYC) and DIAG variable non-missing are from dx file and are equivalent to CDTYPE = “I” in pre-2012 PS claims.

In 2013 and subsequent year files, claims, line, and detailed diagnosis code records are in separate files, and the DIAG variable is kept in all files.

**HCPCS and MOD1-MOD4**

HCPCS in Physician/Supplier Claims contain procedure codes, as described in the HCPCS and MOD1-MOD5 section in Institutional Claims Details and Revenue Center Details. Variables MOD1-MOD4 are included to identify further the type of service billed on the line item. They are used in conjunction with the HCPCS/CPT code on the line item, and their meaning can be found in the Current Procedural Terminology codebook and the HCPCS Level II codebook.

**SPCLTY**

The Physician/Supplier specialty code (SPCLTY) can be useful for untangling the bills for a specific surgical procedure. The principal surgeon, physician surgical assistants, and anesthesiologist use the HCPCS referring to the major invasive surgery to bill for that surgery. The code for nephrologists is “39”.

**PLCSRV**

The place of service variable (PLCSRV) indicates where the service was rendered. It can be used to distinguish between inpatient and outpatient services and between home dialysis and in-unit dialysis supplies. The value “6” refers to an ESRD treatment center.

**HCSRVC**

The CMS service code variable (HCSRVC) can be used to distinguish between the principal surgeon and assistants. The value for immunosuppressive drugs is G, for the renal supplier in the home L, for monthly capitation payment (dialysis) M, and for kidney donor N.

**Payment Variables**

The following three payment fields appear in each Physician/Supplier line item in all years’ Physician/Supplier claims: submitted charges (SBMTCH), allowed charges (ALOWCH, the lower of prevailing, customary, or actual as determined by CMS); and the payment amount (PMTAMT, the amount paid to the provider and/or beneficiary after deductible and co-insurance amounts have been paid for the services included as a line item on a physician/supplier claim). Six more payment variables were added to 2013 Physician/Supplier line files—there
are eight payment variables in 2013 and on Physician/Supplier claims level files. A complete listing of the variables in the Physician/Supplier Claims Files is available in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions.

After the implementation of the bundled payment system in 2011, approximately 15% fewer physician/supplier claims were submitted to CMS. We believe this is because the implementation of bundled care changed the way in which health care providers applied for Medicare reimbursement for ESRD patient care. Specifically, a given patient's dialysis facility now submits claims for any bundled care, even if it is performed by an outside physician. In turn, the facility reimburses the provider for any bundled care provided to the ESRD patient. Therefore, when looking at temporal trends in payment data, for example, researchers will see a decline in payments after January 2011. Also, when looking at trends that cross the bundle implementation date, it is necessary to restrict payment analyses to care that falls outside of the bundle. Researchers may use the list of bundle-covered medication and laboratory procedures at the Medicare website: http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/ESRDpayment/Consolidated_Billing.html.

**Occurrence of HCPCS**

HCPCS codes exist in the following claim files:

- In institutional claims, HCPCS code occurs only on Revenue Center (CDTYPE = “R”) details which are in Institutional DET claim files (REV claims prior to 2012 ESRD and 2010 pre-ESRD), but may not be present on all such records. See HCPCS and MOD1-MOD5 in Institutional Claims Details and Revenue Center Details for more details.

  In Physician/Supplier and DME claims, HCPCS code occurs in DME Line files, and PS Line files See HCPCS and MOD1-MOD4 in Physician/Supplier Claims for more information.

**SECTION 6: TRANSPLANT PROCESS AND OUTCOMES**

Transplant patients constitute a unique subset of the ESRD population and are often studied separately from dialysis patients. Researchers may wish to simply count the number of transplant events that meet certain criteria or calculate transplant event rates and survival probabilities. Using the USRDS transplant data, researchers can obtain information on transplant events, such as donor and recipient characteristics, and on patient- and graft-related outcomes.

**Data Sources**

Basic transplant variables are contained in the Transplant File in the Core SAF. Transplant event data are combined from CMS and OPTN databases. Before 1988, CMS was the primary source for all transplant event data. Between 1988 and 1993, both CMS and OPTN collected information regarding transplant events. Since 1994, OPTN has been the primary source for all transplant event data (Figure 7).
**Figure 7. Source of transplant events in the USRDS population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>All transplants from CMS data are accepted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>All transplants from OPTN data are accepted. Transplants from CMS data are accepted if they occur at least 30 days either side of an event found in OPTN data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>All transplants from OPTN data are accepted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECONCILIATION OF CMS AND OPTN EVENTS**

The USRDS has implemented a decision algorithm, described in detail in Section 2: ESRD Patients, which reconciles identical transplant events when multiple sources contain conflicting information. Each transplant event found in the Transplant File (TX) of the Core SAF dataset is thus a unique event that the USRDS believes occurred after reviewing both OPTN and CMS REMIS Transplant and Medical Evidence Report files. Table 14 details the various USRDS SAFs related to transplant. The Transplant File (TX) in the Core SAF contains transplant dates, basic descriptive data, and causes of graft failure when known.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Constructed by USRDS Coordinating Center from OPTN, CMS PMMIS/REBUS, Transplant, Medical Evidence Files</td>
<td>Identifying data on all known transplants</td>
<td>All 3/9/1963+</td>
<td>Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAITLIST_KI</td>
<td>OPTN Transplant Waiting List File</td>
<td>Contains data of patients on kidney waiting list per listing event</td>
<td>Listing date 3/1/1970+</td>
<td>Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAITSEQ_KI</td>
<td>OPTN Transplant Waiting List File</td>
<td>Contains condensed, center-specific, kidney waiting list dates</td>
<td>Listing date 3/1/1970+</td>
<td>Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAITLIST_KP</td>
<td>OPTN Transplant Waiting List File</td>
<td>Contains data of patients on kidney-pancreas waiting list per listing event</td>
<td>Listing date 9/2/1986+</td>
<td>Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAITSEQ_KP</td>
<td>OPTN Transplant Waiting List File</td>
<td>Contains condensed, center-specific, kidney-pancreas waiting list dates</td>
<td>Listing date 9/2/1986+</td>
<td>Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXHCF</td>
<td>CMS PMMIS/REBUS Transplant File</td>
<td>Details from CMS</td>
<td>1976-93</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXFUHCF</td>
<td>CMS PMMIS/REBUS Transplant Follow-Up File</td>
<td>Follow-up details, CMS</td>
<td>1988+</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_TRR_KI</td>
<td>OPTN Kidney Transplant Recipients Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>Contains kidney recipients transplant details</td>
<td>Transplant date 02/29/1960-08/11/2017</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_TCR_KI</td>
<td>OPTN Kidney Transplant Candidate Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>Contains kidney recipients’ data as a candidate</td>
<td>For Transplants with Transplant date</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_TCR_KP</td>
<td>OPTN Kidney-pancreas Transplant Candidate Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>Contains kidney-pancreas recipients’ data as a candidate</td>
<td>For Transplants with Transplant date</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_CDR</td>
<td>OPTN Deceased Donor Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>Contains data of deceased donors who donate kidney/kidney-pancreas to kidney/kidney-pancreas recipients</td>
<td>For Transplants with Transplant date 03/30/1964 – 08/11/2017</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_LDR</td>
<td>OPTN Living Donor Registration Worksheet</td>
<td>Contains data of living donors who donate kidney/kidney-pancreas to kidney/kidney-pancreas recipients</td>
<td>For Transplants with Transplant date 02/29/1960 – 08/11/2017</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_DHS</td>
<td>OPTN Donor Histocompatibility Worksheet</td>
<td>Contains kidney/kidney-pancreas donors’ histocompatibility data</td>
<td>For Transplants with Transplant date 02/29/1960 – 08/11/2017</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS_RHS</td>
<td>OPTN Recipient Histocompatibility Worksheet</td>
<td>Contains kidney/kidney-pancreas recipients’ histocompatibility data</td>
<td>For Transplants with Transplant date 02/29/1960 – 08/11/2017</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXFUUNOS_KI</td>
<td>OPTN Kidney Recipients Follow-Up File</td>
<td>Contains kidney recipients’ transplant follow-up details</td>
<td>Transplant date 02/29/1960 – 07/27/2017</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXFUUNOS_KP</td>
<td>OPTN Kidney-Pancreas Recipients Follow-Up File</td>
<td>Contains kidney-pancreas recipients transplant follow-up details</td>
<td>Transplant date 09/29/1969 – 04/26/2017</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXIRUNOS</td>
<td>OPTN Immunosuppression at Time Of Transplant</td>
<td>Immunosuppression details for kidney and kidney-pancreas recipients at the time of transplant</td>
<td>Transplant date 09/28/1977 – 08/10/2017</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXIFUNOS</td>
<td>OPTN Immunosuppression at Follow-Up</td>
<td>Immunosuppression details for kidney and kidney-pancreas recipients at follow-up</td>
<td>Transplant date 11/10/1965 – 01/31/2017</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KIDNEY AND PANCREAS WAITING LIST

The Core SAF also contains four files with information on the kidney and simultaneous kidney-pancreas waiting list files from OPTN. Most investigators are simply interested in the dates of listing at specific transplant centers. The WAITSEQ_KI and WAITSEQ_KP Files contain entry and removal date sequences per patient per transplant center for the kidney alone and simultaneous kidney-pancreas waiting lists, respectively. These records are collapsed from the raw OPTN Waiting List Files WAITLIST_KI and WAITLIST_KP. Both the raw OPTN Waiting List Files and the Sequence Files contain two patient identifiers: PID and USRDS_ID. ESRD patients included in the USRDS patient profile have a USRDS_ID.

Because the Sequence Files are collapsed versions of the Waiting List Files, investigators should use caution when linking back to the raw waiting list files to obtain information such as Panel Reactive Antibody (PRA) values. Investigators will need to obtain all raw waiting list records and then make decisions as to which record contains the information most relevant to their needs. Some specific assumptions the USRDS Coordinating Center used to collapse waiting list records are as follows:

- Candidates listed in error were removed (REMCODE = 10).
- Patients with missing listing dates (EDATE = .) were removed.
- Patients with listing and removal dates on the same date were removed.
- Transplant dates were taken from the USRDS Transplant File and not from the OPTN Waiting List File.
- The transplant dates on the USRDS Transplant File are cleaned and reconciled with other sources of data, so these dates were used to ensure consistency. If a patient not known to the USRDS received a transplant, the OPTN transplant date found in the raw Waiting List File was used.
- Known transplant dates are used to truncate waiting periods at all centers at which a patient is currently listed. For example, if a patient underwent transplant but was not removed from a center’s waiting list, a removal date would be imputed on the transplant date. This is true for all centers at which a patient is listed.
- Patients with inactive waiting periods were removed; the waiting list sequence lists only active periods.
- Overlapping waiting periods at the same center were collapsed.

TRANSPLANT SAF

To obtain additional data regarding transplant events, users need the Transplant SAF, which contains detailed information, in separate files, from CMS and OPTN (UNOS). The Transplant File in the Core SAF contains reconciled transplant event data from all available sources. As some researchers may find it beneficial to see the transplant data obtained from each source, data from each source are included.

Two Transplant Files contain data collected by CMS and OPTN (UNOS) on transplant follow-up visits. The follow-up records in TXFUHCFA and TXFUUNOS overlap in time, specifically 1988 to 1993, and contain information collected during patient follow-up visits, which typically occur at six months, one year, and yearly thereafter. The TXIRUNOS and TXIFUNOS Files contain information on immunosuppression treatment. Data in these files are from the Kidney Transplant Recipient Registration Worksheet and Kidney Transplant Recipient Follow-Up Worksheet. TXIRUNOS contains data on treatments at the time of transplant, and TXIFUNOS contains data on treatments updated at each follow-up visit, if available.

Transplant candidate, recipient, donor, and histocompatibility information, previously combined in TXUNOS_KI_PRE_JUL04, TXUNOS_KI_POST_JUL04, and TXUNOS_KP, are separated from each other, and now saved in 8 different datasets. TXUNOS_TRR_KI include kidney recipient transplant details, and the variable list is consistent with most recent OPTN Adult/Pediatric Kidney Transplant Registration Worksheet;
TXUNOS_TRR_KP include kidney-pancreas recipient transplant details, and the variable list is consistent with most recent OPTN Adult/Pediatric Kidney-pancreas Transplant Registration Worksheet. TXUNOS_TCR_KI include kidney recipient data during registration as a candidate; the variable list is consistent with most recent OPTN Adult/Pediatric Kidney Transplant Candidate Registration Worksheet. TXUNOS_TCR_KP includes kidney-pancreas recipient data while they were registered as a candidate, and the variable list is consistent with most recent OPTN Adult/Pediatric Kidney-pancreas Transplant Candidate Registration Worksheet. Candidate files only include patients who received a kidney/kidney-pancreas transplant, those registered as a candidate but haven't received a transplant yet are not included in TXUNOS_TCR_KI or TXUNOS_TCR_KP.

TXUNOS_CDR include deceased donor data, and the variable list is consistent with the most recent OPTN Deceased Donor Registration Worksheet; TXUNOS_LDR include living donor data, and the variable list is consistent with the most recent OPTN Living Donor Registration Worksheet. Only donors who donated one or more organs to kidney or kidney-pancreas transplant recipients are included in TXUNOS_CDR or TXUNOS_LDR. TXUNOS_DHS include donor histocompatibility data for either deceased or living donor who donated to kidney or kidney-pancreas transplant, and the variable list is consistent with OPTN Donor Histocompatibility Worksheet. TXUNOS_RHS include recipient histocompatibility data for both kidney and kidney-pancreas transplant, and the variable list is consistent with OPTN Recipient Histocompatibility Worksheet. Historical variables that are no longer collected by the most recent OPTN forms are dropped from Transplant SAFs.

Starting in 2003, OPTN began to use the bitmask technique to maintain multiple values (i.e., selections/choices) within one variable. This method applies to all multiple selection questions. Previously, OPTN used as many variables as needed to collect all possible answers to such questions. For example, for the question “Treatment: Other therapies,” OPTN used three variables, PHOTOPH, PLASMA and LYMPHOID with answers “Yes/No.” After 2003, OPTN combined these variables into a single variable, THERAPIES, for the same question, using the bitmask technique. The basic code values are as follows: “1” = Photopheresis; “2” = Plasmapheresis; “4” = Total Lymphoid Irradiation (TLI), while “3” represents the sum of values 1 and 2; and “5” indicates that both 1 and 4 are selected. To assist researchers in decrypting these multiple-selection value variables, USRDS has identified all possible additive combinations of these bitmask-value variables and made them available as SAS formats.

Appendix D: Data Collection Forms lists the CMS and OPTN data collection forms, which are available at www.usrds.org. As a cross-reference between the data file and the form, the SAS variable labels (shown in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions) indicate, whenever possible, the question number from the form. The label PM7694, for example, indicates that the variable can be found on the PMMIS from 1976 to 1994. If the question number on the form did not change over time, this number is also indicated by the label. PM819421b indicates question 21b on the PMMIS form collected between 1981 and 1994. Table 15 provides details regarding these labels.
**Table 15. Variable label prefixes for Transplant SAFs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Variable label prefix</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TXHCFA</td>
<td>PM7681</td>
<td>From CMS form, 1976-1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM8194</td>
<td>From CMS form, 1981-1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM7694</td>
<td>Appears on all CMS forms, 1976-1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM819421b</td>
<td>CMS form, 1981-1994, question 21b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXUNOS*</td>
<td>TCR</td>
<td>Transplant candidate registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>Deceased donor registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LDR</td>
<td>Living donor registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Donor histocompatibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RHS</td>
<td>Recipient histocompatibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KIR</td>
<td>Kidney transplant recipient registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KPR</td>
<td>Kidney-pancreas transplant recipient registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXFUHCFCA</td>
<td>TFU</td>
<td>From CMS form before 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXFUUNOS**</td>
<td>KIF</td>
<td>Kidney transplant recipient follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KPF</td>
<td>Kidney-pancreas transplant recipient follow-up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Including TXUNOS_TRR_KI, TXUNOS_TRR_KP, TXUNOS_TCR_KI, TXUNOS_TCR_KP, TXUNOS_CDR, TXUNOS_LDR, TXUNOS_DHS, TXUNOS_RHS
** Including TXFUUNOS_KI and TXFUUNOS_KP. Variable labels with prefix “KRF” in previous TXFUUNOS_KP SAFs, were changed to “KPF”. This was because KP transplant is not the only file containing pediatric-only variables; it is not necessary to distinguish it in the KP file. Instead, for all pediatric-only variables, we appended “(Pediatric Only)” to the end of their labels.

Most descriptive data on transplant events can be found in the Core SAF Transplant File, which can be used to construct counts of various transplant-related events. Transplant rates can be constructed by combining transplant data with a patient treatment history file, RXHIST or RXHIST60; rates in the ADR are typically calculated using RXHIST60, the treatment history file with the 60-day rule built in. Transplant survival, both of graft and patient, can be calculated using the transplant failure date found in the Transplant File and the date of death found in the PATIENTS File.

**SECTION 7: MORBIDITY AND HOSPITALIZATION**

Morbidity associated with ESRD can be determined from information on hospitalizations, and acute events, documented in Medicare claims files through ICD-9-CM (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification) and CPT (Current Procedural Terminology) codes. The USRDS provides data on inpatient admissions, discharges, and diagnosis and procedure codes, which may be used to produce different types of hospitalization rates, such as total admission rates and hospital day rates.
DATA SOURCES

Inpatient hospitalization data, a subset of the data in the Institutional Claims File, are included in the Hospitalization SAFs. These data originate from the Part A institutional inpatient claims data. The patient treatment history file (RXHIST or RXHIST60) provides the patient modality treatment history, which may be used to construct hospitalization rate data, while demographic data are obtained from the PATIENTS File.

Contents of the Hospital Dataset

A complete list of variables in the HOSP dataset can be found in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions. The following list outlines some of the key variables:

- HCFASAF indicates the data source of the claim.
- CLM_FROM provides the from date of service, indicating the admission date.
- CLM_THRU provides the through date of service, indicating the date of discharge.
- HSDIAG1-HSDIAG26 provide up to 10 ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes in HOSP_to2009; and up to 26 ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes in HOSP_2010on.
- HSSURG1-HSSURG25 provide up to 10 ICD-9-CM procedure codes in HOSP_to2009; and up to 25 ICD-9-CM procedure codes in HOSP_2010on.
- DRG_CD provides diagnostic-related group (DRG) codes, which categorize inpatient stays by ICD-9-CM diagnosis and procedure codes.
- PRIMDIAG: equals “yes” when HSDIAG1 provides the primary diagnosis code in HOSP_to2009 and equals primary diagnosis code in HOSP_2010on

PREPARING INPATIENT CLAIMS DATA FOR USE

Before analyses, data requires cleaning to combine overlapping hospitalizations for the same patient. For the USRDS ADR, the principal diagnosis and procedure codes from the first of two overlapping hospitalizations are retained, with the combined hospitalization extending from the first admission date to the last discharge date.

To create a dataset to calculate rates for period prevalent patient cohorts, attach data for hospitalizations occurring during the selected year by patient USRDS_ID to a period prevalent cohort file for the year. In this way, all patients in the file, including those with multiple hospitalizations and those with none, are included in the rate calculation.

Because hospitalization data are incomplete for non-Medicare patients and patients classified as MSP, these patients should be excluded, thereby limiting their contribution to patient years at risk. The Payer History File in the Core SAF can be used to do this, see SAS Example 9: Create a Patient Cohort of 2008 Medicare Primary Incident Patients. Another method of MSP patient exclusion involves excluding dialysis patients who do not reach a certain level of Medicare paid dialysis bills. For instance, dialysis patient start dates can be required to fall between start and end dates based on Medicare paid dialysis claims as follows:

- Claims start date: the first day of the first month in which there are at least $675 of Medicare paid dialysis claims.
- Claims end date: the end of a three-month period in which there are less than $675 of paid claims in each month.
If a patient’s start date does not fall between the claims start and end dates, that patient is excluded from the analysis for that year. To calculate rates by the patient characteristics, demographic data may be attached to the dataset by patient USRDS_ID from the PATIENTS File.

**SECTION 8: SURVIVAL AND MORTALITY**

Survival and mortality analyses are often used to compare outcomes among treatment modalities, age groups, or races, or to evaluate outcome trends over time. Primary analyses include unadjusted survival probabilities using the Kaplan-Meier method, adjusted survival probabilities using the Cox regression model, unadjusted death rates, and adjusted death rates.

**Data Sources**

Survival and mortality analyses often require patient demographic information such as age, sex, race, the primary cause of ESRD, death date, the cause of death, and treatment modality history data, which can be obtained from the USRDS Core SAF Files PATIENTS and RXHIST or RXHIST60.

**SECTION 9: PROVIDERS**

The Facility SAF File is constructed from data supplied by the CMS Annual Facility Survey, CDC National Surveillance of Dialysis-Associated Diseases, CMS Dialysis Facility Compare, and CMS CROWNWeb. Data in the Facility SAF are at the facility level, and there are no patient-level data. Over time, facilities may be purchased and sold. Thus, a facility may have the same provider number for several years, then be purchased by a different owner and receive a new provider number. The physical facility and location may be the same, and most staff may remain, but the provider number has changed.

The Facility SAF File contains over 100 provider characteristics, including:

- Transplant counts
- Self-dialysis information
- HD, IPD, CAPD, and CCPD patient counts
- Number of in-center new dialysis patients at a facility
- Profit status, and hospital-based or freestanding status
- Chain affiliation
- Reuse practices

**Data Sources**

The CMS Annual Facility Survey is obtained as part of the CROWN data that the USRDS receives. The CMS Dialysis Facility Compare data are received quarterly from CMS. The CDC National Surveillance of Dialysis-Associated Diseases survey was obtained from the CDC annually through 2002. As the last CDC National Surveillance survey occurred in 2002, all data for CDC variables are missing after 2002. A list of the CMS and CDC surveys can be found in Appendix D: Data Collection Forms. Those forms are also available at www.usrds.org.

**Provider Numbers Assigned by the USRDS**

Each provider has been assigned an identification number by CMS. However, in an effort to ensure confidentiality and conceal provider identity, the USRDS assigned an anonymous number to each provider; these
are not the original provider numbers assigned by CMS. Each provider maintains its USRDS-assigned facility ID throughout the SAFs to allow linkage.

Researchers who wish to conduct patient-level studies incorporating the Facility SAF data can link patient information from the Detailed Treatment History SAF (RXHIST), the Condensed Treatment History SAF (RXHIST60), or other SAFs, to the Facility SAF via the USRDS provider number and year. However, investigators are cautioned that analysis of small samples does pose a risk of inadvertent patient identification, thus reporting is limited to patient groups (cells) of 11 or greater, per CMS policy.

**Profit Status**

The profit variable, NU_P_NP, has the following values, “For-profit”, “Non-profit”, and “Unknown” (case sensitive). The For-profit group consists of facilities categorized on the CMS survey as individual-profit, partnership-profit, corporation-profit, or other-profit. Non-profit facilities include individual-non-profit, partnership-nonprofit, corporate-nonprofit, other-nonprofit, state-government non-federal, county-government non-federal, city government non-federal, city/county-government non-federal, hospital district/authority government non-federal, other-government non-federal, Veterans Administration Government Federal, Public Health Service Government Federal, military-government federal, or other-government federal. Those 18 categories are designated by the variable TYPOWNER. However, the 2002 CMS survey dropped this variable, and it is missing in subsequent survey periods. Profit status is now determined solely by the CMS Dialysis Facility Compare data.

**Chain Affiliation**

The chain variable, CHAIN_ID, contains a text string identifying the chain ownership of the facility. A blank value for CHAIN_ID indicates that the facility has no chain affiliation. The variable can be used to classify facilities based on the number of facilities operated by the dialysis organizations represented by CHAIN_ID.

**Freestanding vs. Hospital-based Facility**

A hospital-based dialysis facility is associated with a hospital; it can be located in the hospital or at a remote location. Freestanding dialysis facilities operate independently of a hospital. A study analyzing freestanding vs. hospital-based units will generally include three groups: freestanding, hospital-based, and unknown. The variable NU_HBFS designates this status. A code of “1” represents a hospital-based unit, and “2” represents freestanding. The unknown category will consist of any provider in the researcher’s study not found in the Facility database.

**Section 10: CKD Cohort (5% CKD Cohort Dataset)**

Traditionally, the USRDS has focused on the final stages of kidney disease, due to the comprehensive data available from Medicare on all patients with ESRD. However, there is no similar national reporting system for patients with kidney disease prior to ESRD. For several years, the USRDS has been reporting on chronic kidney disease in Volume 1 of the USRDS ADR and making standard analytic files available using a cohort of patients diagnosed with CKD from the Medicare 5% database.

**Data Sources**

To create the CKD cohort dataset by year, the USRDS Coordinating Center uses 5% General Medicare Claims data (1992-2014) and patient demographic information. The CKD cohort dataset contains three basic data components: Patient Master File, Payer Sequence File, and a series of Co-Morbid Files. Below is a description of
how the CKD cohort dataset was created using the 5% General Medicare Claims data and the underlying data structure and file organization.

**Patient Master File**

For patients in this file, at least one CKD ICD-9 diagnosis code (Table 16) was identified in the 5% Inpatient (IP), Outpatient (OP), Home Health (HH), Hospice (HS), Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF), and Part B (PB) SAFs, with one record per patient. The two key variables CKD_xx (1-year entry period CKD indicator) and CKD_xxyy (2-year entry period CKD indicator) are defined as follows:

CKD_xx = Y if a patient in year xx (e.g., CKD_95 or CKD_02):
- Was Part A and Part B entitled and not enrolled in an HMO for the entire year (i.e., Payer_Seq_File)
- Had any one CKD ICD-9 diagnosis code from IP or HH or SNF, or any two CKD ICD-9 diagnosis code combinations from PB or OP with different claim dates

CKD_xx = N otherwise

CKD_xxyy = Y if a patient in a two-year entry period (e.g., CKD_9596, CKD_9900, or CKD_0102):
- Was Part A and Part B entitled and not enrolled in an HMO for the entire two-year entry period (i.e., Payer_Seq_File)
- Was alive and did not develop ESRD as of December 31 of the two-year entry period
- Had any one CKD ICD-9 diagnosis code from IP or HH or SNF, or any two CKD ICD-9 diagnosis code combinations from PB or OP with different claim dates identified at any time during the two-year entry period (either in one of the two years or across the two years)

CKD_xxyy = N otherwise

Patients with CKD_95 = Y are a subset of patients in the 1995 Co-Morbid File (Co_Morbid_95) in the Co-Morbid Files dataset; patients with CKD_9900 = Y are a subset of the patients from the 1999 and 2000 Co-Morbid Files (Co_Morbid_99 and Co_Morbid_00) in the Co-Morbid Files dataset, respectively.

Some patients in the Patient Master File may have all CKD_xx = N and all CKD_xxyy = N. This implies that these patients had at least one CKD ICD-9 diagnosis code identified in the 1992 to 2010 5% IP, OP, HH, HS, SNF, and PB SAFs but did not meet the one-year entry period and two-year entry period CKD eligibility criteria described above.

Each patient is identified by two patient identification numbers. One is FIVEP_ID, a unique patient ID in the 5% Medicare sample population and the primary linking key within the USRDS CKD Cohort Finder dataset. Each year, new FIVEP_ID numbers are generated only for new patients added to the 5% sample. All existing patients (repeated patients) are referenced with their previously assigned numbers. A second patient identification number, USRDS_ID, is the unique ID in the USRDS database system for identifying ESRD patients. Only patients with ESRD are assigned a valid USRDS_ID number. FIVEP_ID and USRDS_ID are not related.
### Table 16. ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM diagnosis codes used to define CKD in the health insurance claim data files throughout Volume 1 of the ADR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronic kidney disease (CKD)</th>
<th>ICD-9-CM codes</th>
<th>ICD-10-CM codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>016.0; 095.4; 189.0; 189.9; 223.0; 236.91; 250.4; 271.4; 274.1; 283.11; 403; 404; 440.1; 442.1; 477.3; 572.4; 581-588; 591; 642.1; 646.2; 753.12-753.19; 753.2; 794.4</td>
<td>A18.11, A52.75, B52.0, C64.x, C68.9, D30.0x, D41.0x-D41.2x, D59.3, E08.2x, E09.2x, E10.2x, E10.65, E11.2x, E11.65, E13.2x, E74.8, I12.xx, I13.0, I13.1x, I13.2, K76.7, M10.3x, M32.14, M32.15, N01.x-N08.x, N13.1, N13.1x-N13.39, N14.x, N15.0, N15.8, N15.9, N16, N17.x, N18.1-N18.5, N18.8, N18.9, N19, N25.xx, N26.1, N26.9, O10.4xx, O12.xx, O26.83x, O90.89, Q61.02, Q61.1x-Q61.8, Q26.0-Q26.39, R94.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Staging of chronic kidney disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>ICD-9-CM codes</th>
<th>ICD-10-CM codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>585.1</td>
<td>N18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>585.2</td>
<td>N18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>585.3</td>
<td>N18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>585.4</td>
<td>N18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 5</td>
<td>585.5 or 585.6 with no CMS 2728 form</td>
<td>N18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage unknown or unspecified</td>
<td>Patient has no claims with codes 585.1-585.6 but has: 016.0; 095.4; 189.0; 189.9; 223.0; 236.91; 250.4; 271.4; 283.11; 403; 404; 440.1; 442.1; 477.3; 572.4; 581-584; 585.9; 586-588; 591; 642.1; 646.2; 753.12-753.19; 753.2; 794.4</td>
<td>Patient has no claims with codes N18.1-N18.6 but has: A18.11, A52.75, B52.0, C64.x, C68.9, D30.0x, D41.0x-D41.2x, D59.3, E08.2x, E09.2x, E10.2x, E10.65, E11.2x, E11.65, E13.2x, E74.8, I12.xx, I13.0, I13.1x, I13.2, K76.7, M10.3x, M32.14, M32.15, N01.x-N08.x, N13.1, N13.1x-N13.39, N14.x, N15.0, N15.8, N15.9, N16, N17.x, N18.1-N18.5, N18.8, N18.9, N19, N25.xx, N26.1, N26.9, O10.4xx, O12.xx, O26.83x, O90.89, Q61.02, Q61.1x-Q61.8, Q26.0-Q26.39, R94.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** ICD-9/10-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth/Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification. ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes can have up to five digits with a decimal point between the 3rd and 4th digit, while ICD-10-CM codes have seven digits. Codes listed with three digits include all existing 4th and 5th digits, and those listed with four digits include all existing 5th digits.
Payer Sequence File

This file was created from the 5% Medicare denominator files and the IP, OP, HH, HS, SNF and PB SAFs. It contains Medicare coverage information for 5% patients with at least one CKD ICD-9 diagnosis code identified through the 5% IP, OP, HH, HS, SNF, and PB SAFs. A patient might have one or many sequence records in which each record indicates different insurance coverage within a well-defined period. There are five unique insurance types:

- A: Entitled with Part A only
- B: Entitled with Part B only
- AB: Entitled with Part A and Part B
- H: Enrolled in a Medicare HMO health plan
- N: Non-Medicare

The first record contains the earliest available date for coverage information in the 5% Medicare denominator files. The last record contains the last known available date (i.e., the most recent) for coverage information in the 5% Medicare denominator files. FIVEP_ID is the primary patient identification number.

Co-Morbidity Files

The Co-Morbid files are constructed from the 5% Medicare IP, OP, HH, HS, SNF, and PB SAFs and named individually by the respective calendar years. Each file contains all patients whose CKD diagnosis was identified from the 5% Medicare IP, OP, HH, HS, SNF, and PB SAFs within that year (i.e., Co_Morbid_95 contains all patients whose CKD disease events were identified from the 1995 5% Medicare Claims SAFs). However, patients were not necessarily required to be entitled to Parts A and B and not enrolled in an HMO for that entire year. A patient might have one or many records within a calendar year in which each record depicts one unique CKD disease event. Records are considered duplicates if they are extracted from the same type of claim file with the same ICD-9 diagnosis code on the same date. These files do not include duplicates.

For example, each record in Co-Morbid_95 consists of one CKD code per claim file per service date per unique patient in 1995. The combination of claim type, CKD code, and service date for a patient establishes uniqueness in each Co-Morbid File. FIVEP_ID is the primary patient identification number.
SECTION 11: COMPREHENSIVE DIALYSIS STUDY SAF

The Comprehensive Dialysis Study (CDS) collected data on patient demographics, contact information, treatment, laboratory values, quality of life (QOL) survey interviews, and nutrition survey interviews for U.S. dialysis patients who started treatment during 2005-2007 at 335 randomly selected dialysis facilities. Patients were selected via a monthly REMIS/SIMS database custom extract process using the following criteria:

- Incident dialysis patients (age ≥ 18) who had survived for at least two months
- No prior transplant
- Dialyzed at one of the pre-determined 335 facilities

Over a period of two years, 11,292 patients were selected. Of these, 1,678 consented and participated in the surveys and/or lab assays. The CDS SAF contains the data for these patients. Of the 1,678 patients, 1,279 participated only in the QOL survey—364 participated in the QOL and nutrition surveys and/or lab assays (227 with QOL, lab, and nutrition, 4 with QOL and lab, and 133 with QOL and nutrition. 35 did not participate in either survey but provided lab data.

The CDS SAF dataset consists of:

- CDS Patient File. This file contains one record for each of the 1,678 patients. A patient record includes a unique identifier USRDS_ID (unique identifier used to cross-reference to other USRDS SAF files), demographic data, and a patient category indicator (PAT_CAT_BL), which indicates baseline survey and lab participation status (QOL-only, QOL w/lab data, QOL and nutrition w/o lab data, Lab data w/o survey).
- CDS QOL Baseline File. This file contains the Baseline QOL survey answer data and some derivative score data from QOL only and QOL/Nutrition participants.
- CDS Food Baseline File. This file contains the Baseline Nutrition survey answer data and data generated by “Block Dietary Data System” on QOL/Nutrition participants.
- CDS Lab File. This file contains up to five sets of lab data from a subset of QOL/Nutrition participants.
- CDS Dictionary. This file contains each CDS SAF file contents and variable formats.

SECTION 12: MEDICARE PART D PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE

Data Sources

The ESRD and pre-ESRD Part D data are obtained from ESRD and pre-ESRD CMS annually with USRDS provided Finder Files. The Part D data are divided into two separate files: an annual enrollment file containing monthly indicators of enrollment in Part D, and a prescription drug event file (PDE) containing details of prescriptions filled by Part D beneficiaries.

Section 101 of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 amended Title XVIII of the Social Security Act by establishing the Voluntary Prescription Drug Benefit Program (Part D). Effective January 1, 2006, Part D is an optional prescription drug benefit for individuals who are entitled to Medicare benefits under Part A or are enrolled in Medicare benefits under Part B. The data from the first few months of 2006, while the benefit was very new, may not be complete, and should be interpreted with caution.
PART D ENROLLMENT SAF

Since the Part D benefit is voluntary, not all Medicare beneficiaries are enrolled in Part D. The annual enrollment file contains 12 monthly indicators that detail whether the beneficiary is enrolled in Part D, and if so, what type of plan the beneficiary is enrolled in. There are also monthly indicators for dual eligibility (Medicare and Medicaid), monthly indicators for Retiree Drug Subsidy, and low-income subsidy (LIS). A complete listing of the variables included in the annual enrollment file is available in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions.

The variables PTD_CNTRCTID_01-PTD_CNTRCTID_12 indicate Part D enrollment as follows:

- H = Managed care organizations other than Regional Preferred Provider Organization
- R = Regional Preferred Provider Organization
- S = Stand-alone Prescription Drug Plan (PDP)
- E = Employer-sponsored
- O = Not Medicare enrolled
- X = Medicare enrolled, but no Part D enrollment record
- N = Not Part D enrolled

Values of H, R, S, and E for these variables are generally considered to indicate Part D enrollment.

Low income subsidy status can be determined from the variables CST_SHR_GRP_CD_01-CST_SHR_GRP_CD_12. If the beneficiary is Medicare enrolled and Part D enrolled:

- 01 = 100% premium subsidy and no copayment
- 02 = 100% premium subsidy and low copayment
- 03 = 100% premium subsidy and high copayment
- 04 = LIS, 100% premium-subsidy and high copayment
- 05 = LIS, 100% premium-subsidy and 15% copayment
- 06 = LIS, 75% premium-subsidy and 15% copayment
- 07 = LIS, 50% premium-subsidy and 15% copayment
- 08 = LIS, 25% premium-subsidy and 15% copayment
- 09 = No premium subsidy or cost sharing

If the beneficiary is Medicare enrolled and not Part D enrolled:

- 10 = Not enrolled in Part D, but the employer is entitled to RDS subsidy
- 11 = Creditable coverage but no RDS
- 12 = Not Part D enrolled, no creditable coverage, no RDS
- 13 = None of the above conditions have been met

PRESCRIPTION DRUG EVENT SAF

This SAF contains details on prescription drug utilization, including brand name, generic name, dosage form, drug strength, quantity dispensed, date of service, and total prescription cost. The variables in this SAF are listed in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions. More detailed information on the Part D benefit can be found at http://www.cms.gov/PrescriptionDrugCovGenIn/.
SECTION 13: CROWNWEB CLINICAL DATA

In 2015, CROWNWeb became an integral part of the USRDS SAFs. CROWNWeb collects administrative and clinical data from all Medicare-certified dialysis facilities in the U.S. It includes patient admission, tracking, and discharge information, CMS forms, and clinical data elements. Monthly clinical data submission began in May 2012. Previously, monthly clinical data were available to the USRDS for Medicare patients only through administrative claims. The USRDS CROWNWeb Clinical Data SAF includes data collected from May 2012 and on. Some clinical measures, including vitamin D analog measures and serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) labs, have not been collected since April 2014. Facilities are asked to submit patient clinical information each month. The last values for a month are required to be entered by the end of the following month. For example, labs drawn in August should be entered by the end of September. There are out-of-range values in some variables. Therefore, users should be cautious with out-of-range values when conducting analyses.

Detailed information for the clinical measures included in the CROWNWeb Clinical Data SAF can be found in Appendix B: Data File Descriptions.